Anthropogenic Disturbance of Caribbean Mangrove Ecosystems: Past Impacts, Present Trends, and Future Predictions[1]

Aaron M. Ellison

Department of Biological Sciences and Program in Environmental Studies, Mount Holyoke College, 50 College Street, South Hadley, Massachusetts 01075-6418, U.S.A.

and

Elizabeth J. Farnsworth

Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Harvard Herbaria, 22 Divinity Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

We review historical, current, and projected future impacts of four classes of anthropogenic disturbance—extraction, pollution, reclamation, and changing climate—on Caribbean mangrove ecosystems (mangal). These disturbances occur, respectively, at increasing spatial and temporal scales, and require increasing recovery time. Small-scale selective extraction has little system-wide effect, but regeneration is slow even on single hectare clear-cuts due to rapid soil acidification. Petroleum is the primary pollutant of Caribbean mangal, and results in tree defoliation, stand death, and loss of associated sessile and mobile animal species. Hydrocarbons persist in mangrove sediments for decades, and are correlated with increasing seedling mutation rates. Chemical, industrial, and urban wastes are associated with increased heavy metal content of seedlings, stand die-back, reduced system-wide species richness, and higher incidence of *Vibrio* spp. (shellfish poisoning). Mangal has been reclaimed for urbanization, industrialization, and increasingly, for tourism. Overall, the region is losing mangrove forests at ≈ 1 percent per yr, although the rate is much faster on the Caribbean mainland ($\approx 1.7\%$ yr^{-1}) than it is on the islands ($\approx 0.2\%$ yr^{-1}). The region's fisheries are declining at a similar rate, as most commercial shellfish and finfish use mangal for nurseries and/or refugia. Few Caribbean states have legislation or enforcement capabilities to protect or manage mangal, although at least 11 international treaties and conventions could be applied to conserve or sustainably use these forests. These treaties may protect riverine and basin mangal, but are likely to be moot with respect to fringing mangal, which may vanish as a consequence of global climate change. Growth enhancements of mangroves resulting from increasing atmospheric CO_2 probably will not compensate for negative effects of concomitant rises in regional sea level.

RESUMEN

Analizamos los impactos pasados, actuales y futuros de cuatro perturbaciones de origen humano: extracción, contaminación, reclamación, y cambio climático en los ecosistemas de manglares del Caribe. Estas perturbaciones ocurren, respectivamente, a escalas espaciales y temporales crecientes y requieren periodos de recuperación cada vez mayores. La extracción selectiva a pequeña escala tiene poco efecto a nivel del ecosistema, pero la regeneración es lenta debido a la rápida acidificación del suelo que ocurre inclusive en parcelas de una hectárea que han sido desforestadas. El petróleo es el contaminante principal de los manglares caribeños, produciendo defoliación, muerte en pie y pérdida de especies asociadas, sean sésiles o móviles. Los hidrocarburos persisten en los sedimentos de los manglares durante décadas y están correlacionados con el incremento en la tasa de mutación de las plántulas. Huy una clora asociación entre los desechos químicos, industriales y urbanos y el aumento del contenido de metales pesados en los plantones, muerte en pie, reducción del número de especies en el ecosistema y una mayor incidencia de *Vibrio*, que produce envenenamiento de los crustáceos. El manglar ha sido destruido debido a los procesos de urbanización, industrialización y, cada vez más, por el turismo. En total, la región está perdiendo el uno por ciento de los manglares anualmente, aunque la tasa es mucho mayor en tierra firme ($\approx 1.7\%$ anual) que en las islas ($\approx 0.2\%$ anual). La pesca regional está disminuyendo de manera similar, ya que la mayoría de los crustáceos y los peces de importancia comercial utilizan los manglares como criaderos y refugios. Pocos paises caribeños poseen la legislación o la capacidad de implementación necesarias para proteger o gestionar los manglares, a pesar de que por lo menos once tratados internacionales y convenciones podrían ser aplicados para conservar o utilizar estos ecosistemas de manera sostenible. Estos tratados podrían proteger los manglares situados en cuencas y riberas, pero probablemente no sean efectivos con respecto a los manglares costeros, que podrían desaparecer como consecuencia de los cambios climáticos globales. Es probable que el crecimiento de los manglares debido a una mayor concentración de dióxido de carbono atmosférico no compense los efectos negativos del aumento simultáneo del nivel del mar.

DISTURBANCE REGULATES POPULATION DYNAMICS, structures communities, and modulates ecosystem dynamics in a diversity of habitats (*e.g.,* Pickett & White 1985, Huston 1994). Mangrove ecosystems (mangal), which occupy the terrestrial-marine interface on sheltered tropical coasts, are particularly susceptible to natural geomorphological and climatic perturbations (*e.g.,* Ellison & Stoddart 1991, Smith *et al.* 1994). However, direct and indirect anthropogenic impacts on mangal dwarf natural disturbances in their spatial scale and severity, and their temporal persistence, and now are considered to be major determinants of mangrove community composition and extent world-wide (Ong 1995).

In this paper, we review past anthropogenic disturbances and their biological effects on mangal throughout the Caribbean; assess current trends of destruction, alteration and the attendant loss of biodiversity, as well as conservation, and management of these ecosystems; and speculate on future prospects for Caribbean mangal. Hurricanes and other natural disturbances are outside the scope of this paper; their effects on Caribbean mangroves have been reviewed elsewhere (most recently by Smith *et al.* 1994). In discussing anthropogenic disturbance of mangal, we consider the early 1980's as the break-point between 'past' and 'present.' Although the first international symposium on the biology and management of mangroves was held in 1974 (Walsh *et al.* 1975), it was not until 1978 that UNESCO's Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research established Working Group 60 on Mangrove Ecology. Shortly thereafter (1980), mangal was identified as an endangered ecosystem (Linden & Jernelov 1980), and the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) created its Working Group on Mangrove Ecosystems. These two groups gathered existing information on mangrove ecosystems to develop conservation and management guidelines, which were summarized in three publications (Rollet 1981, Saenger *et al.* 1983, Hamilton & Snedaker 1984). In 1981, the majority of Caribbean states and colonial powers with territories in the Caribbean adopted an Action Plan for environmental management in the Caribbean region (Bliss-Guest & Rodriguez 1981). Although these initiatives fostered regional discussions on the biology, ecology, and management of mangal that led to concrete proposals for protection and presumed sustainable use of these forests

(Lugo & Bayle 1992, Lacerda 1993), mangal continues to disappear throughout the Caribbean.

CARIBBEAN MANGAL NATURAL HISTORY

Four species of mangroves (salt-tolerant trees; see Tomlinson [1986]) occur throughout the Caribbean: *Rhizophora mangle* L. (Rhizophoraceae), *Avicennia germinans* (L.) Stearn (Avicenniaceae), *Laguncularia racemosa* (L.) Gaertn. f., and *Conocarpus erectus* L. (Combretaceae) (Tomlinson 1986). In addition, *Rhizophora* × *harrisonii* Leech. and *R. racemosa* Meyer occur on Trinidad (P. R. Bacon, pers. comm.) and on the Caribbean coast of Nicaragua (Polanía & Mainardi 1993), *Avicennia schaueriana* Stapf & Leech. occurs throughout the Lesser Antilles (Tomlinson 1986, Lacerda *et al.* 1993), and *Conocarpus erectus* var. *sericeus* Grisebach (syn. *C. pubescens* Schumach.) is considered endemic to the Bahamas and Turks & Caicos Islands (Bacon 1993a; Tomlinson [1986] places this variety within *C. erectus*). *Pelliceria rhizophorae* Pl. & Tr. (Theaceae) occurs rarely along the Caribbean coasts of Nicaragua, Panamá, and Colombia (Winograd 1983, Roth & Grijalva 1991). *Pelliceria* was widespread in this region from the late Eocene through the mid-Pliocene ($\approx$38–3.5 Ma; Graham 1995), but is now globally threatened (Rabinowitz 1985).

Caribbean mangal hosts the world's richest mangrove-associated invertebrate fauna (Saenger *et al.* 1983, Farnsworth & Ellison 1996, Ellison, in press) and provides habitat for a number of globally endangered animal species (Saenger *et al.* 1983, Scott & Carbonell 1986, Wiley *et al.* 1991, Ellison, in press). For example, some mammals that formerly were widespread in rapidly vanishing upland wet forests are now primarily restricted to mangal in Belize, Costa Rica, and Honduras (Ellison, in press). Coastal mangrove swamps are critical nursery grounds and refugia from predation for commercially-important penaeid shrimp (*Penaeus* spp.: Stoner 1988), spiny lobster (*Panulirus argus* [Latreille]: Marx & Herrnkind 1986), and > 200 species of fish (Austin 1971, Phillips 1981, Louis & Guyard 1982).

GEOGRAPHIC SETTING

We consider disturbance of mangal in 32 countries bordering the Caribbean Large Marine Ecosystem

TABLE 1. *GEOGRAPHIC information and extent of mangrove forests for insular and mainland Caribbean states.*

Country	Population[a] (× 10³)	Pop. growth rate[a] (%)	Area (km²)[a]	Coastline (km)[a,b]	Mangrove area (km²): ca. 1980[b,c]	Mangrove area (km²): ca. 1990[b,d]
Anguilla (U.K.)	7	0.67	91	60	1	0.3
Antigua & Barbuda	65	0.59	440	152	9	1.5
Aruba (Netherlands)	66	0.65	193	69	NA	0.1
Bahamas	273	1.57	13,940	3542	3086	1419
Barbados	256	0.21	430	97	NA	0.2
Belize	209	2.42	22,960	386	730	783
Cayman Islands (U.K.)	32	4.33	260	160	114	72
Colombia	35,578	1.77	1,138,910	1760	1898	821
Costa Rica	3342	2.31	51,100	212	NA	1.8
Cuba	11,064	0.95	110,860	3735	4000	5297
Dominica	88	1.32	750	148	NA	0.1
Dominican Republic	7826	1.80	48,730	1288	90	90
Grenada	94	0.35	340	121	2	1.5
Guadeloupe (France)	429	1.55	1780	306	80	31
Guatemala	10,721	2.58	108,890	110	136	NA
Haiti	6491	1.63	27,750	1771	180	180
Honduras	5315	2.73	112,090	640	741	NA
Jamaica	2555	1.02	10,990	1022	70	106
Martinique (France)	392	1.20	1100	290	19	19
Montserrat (U.K.)	127	0.33	98	40	0.1	0.1
Netherlands Antilles (Neth.)	186	0.47	960	364	8	22
Nicaragua	4097	2.68	129,494	541	837	NA
Panamá	2600	1.94	78,260	1160	204	59
Puerto Rico (U.S.)	3802	0.13	9104	501	80	65
St. Kitts & Nevis	41	0.72	269	135	0.5	0.2
St. Lucia	145	0.52	620	158	3	1.8
St. Vincent & Grenadines	115	0.77	340	84	1	0.6
Trinidad and Tobago	1328	1.10	5130	362	40	71
Turks & Caicos Islands (U.K.)	14	2.69	430	389	NA	236
Virgin Islands (U.K.)	129	1.24	150	80	5	NA
Virgin Islands (U.S.)	98	−0.52	352	188	9.4	3.1
Venezuela	20,562	2.16	912,050	2800	2500	NA
Subtotal: Insular	35,496	1.05	235,107	15,062	7798	7622.5[e]
Subtotal: Mainland	82,424	2.32	2,553,754	7609	7046	5878.8[e]
Total: Caribbean	117,920	1.37	2,788,861	22,671	14,844	13,501.3[e]

[a] 1994 human population size and growth information from CIA (1995); area and coastline lengths from CIA (1995) and Ellison (in press).

[b] Coastline length and mangrove area data for Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Panamá are lengths and areal extent only for Caribbean coastlines.

[c] Data from Saenger *et al.* (1983), Scott & Carbonell (1986), Winograd (1987), Alarcón & Conde (1993), Lacerda *et al.* (1993), Polanía & Mainardi (1993), and Ellison (in press). NA: data unavailable.

[d] Data from Groombridge (1992), Lugo & Bayle (1992), Alvarez-León (1993), Bacon (1993c), D'Croz (1993), Polanía (1993), Garrity *et al.* (1994), and Giglioli (1994). NA: data unavailable.

[e] When 1990's data were unavailable, 1980's data were used to compute 1990's regional totals of mangrove areas.

(LME: Richards & Bohnsack 1990) (Table 1). This group includes all Central American countries except El Salvador; two South American countries (Venezuela and Colombia); and 24 island states, eleven of which are still administered by colonial powers. The July 1994 regional human population was ≈118 × 10⁶ and growing at 1.37 percent per yr (Table 1; CIA 1995). Only 30 percent of the region's people live on the islands, but average insular population density is 6 times greater than mainland population density. Despite having < 10 percent of the region's land area, the Caribbean islands have 66 percent of its coastline and 56 percent of its mangal (Table 1).

There are few estimates of past areal extent of Caribbean mangal. Palynological records indicate that current species composition and ranges were established by the mid-Pliocene (≈3.5 Ma: Graham 1995). Historical records rarely provide mangal area, but five estimates are available for the ear-

ly- to mid-1900's: 98 km^2 of mangal in Guadeloupe and 15 km^2 in Martinique *ca.* 1940 (Stehlé 1945); 243 km^2 in Puerto Rico prior to 1930 (Lugo & Cintrón 1975); 3,000 km^2 in Panamá (Caribbean and Pacific coasts combined) prior to 1960 (D'Croz 1993); and 10,000 km^2 in Venezuela *ca.* 1960 (Alarcón & Conde 1993).

Regional estimates of mangal area improved greatly between 1980 and the early 1990's. While areal estimates of mangal *ca.* 1980 (Table 1) were incomplete (Saenger *et al.* 1983, Scott & Carbonell 1986), estimates *ca.* 1990 (Table 1) reflect a better combination of ground surveys and improved methods for interpreting aerial and satellite imagery (Lacerda *et al.* 1993). With the exceptions of Belize, Cuba, Jamaica, Netherlands Antilles, and Trinidad & Tobago, estimates *ca.* 1990 were lower than the *ca.* 1980 estimates (Table 1).

Reliable estimates of mangal loss are scant. Only $\approx$10 percent of Venezuelan mangal *ca.* 1960 remained by 1993 (Alarcón & Conde 1993). Panamá lost 41 percent of its mangrove cover between 1960 and 1988, although the majority of deforestation occurred on the Pacific coast (D'Croz 1993). Puerto Rico lost 25–33 percent of its original mangrove area between 1930 and 1960 (Heatwole 1985), and 85 percent by 1975 (Lugo & Cintrón 1975). Between 1976 and 1990, 65 percent (4,200 ha) of Grand Cayman's mangal was reclaimed for development schemes (Bacon 1993a, Giglioli 1994). Based on the data presented in Table 1, overall mangal area in the Caribbean declined by $\approx$10 percent during the 1980's. Mangal area declined by $\approx$17 percent on the mainland, but by only $\approx$2 percent on the islands. Although some of this decline may reflect significant overestimates *ca.* 1980 by the UNESCO and IUCN working groups (Lacerda *et al.* 1993), much of this decline is attributable to anthropogenic activities discussed below. The apparent rate of reduction in Caribbean mangal area throughout the 1980's ($\approx$1% yr^{-1}) is similar to conservative estimates of mangal loss rates due to human activities in southeast Asia (Ong 1995), as well as deforestation rates in upland tropical forests (*e.g.,* FAO 1993a).

CLASSES OF ANTHROPOGENIC DISTURBANCE

We consider four classes of anthropogenic disturbance with respect to mangal: disturbance resulting from extractive uses of mangrove trees and mangal fauna; pollution of mangal; destruction of mangal associated with reclamation for non-extractive uses;

and impacts of climate change on mangal expected to result from anthropogenically-driven increases in atmospheric CO_2 concentration and regional sea level. These four classes reflect a hierarchy of increasing spatial extent and temporal intensity of biological impacts on, and time to recovery of, disturbed mangal, although differences in frequency among disturbance classes can result in small spatial and temporal scale disturbances having larger cumulative environmental impacts (*sensu* Preston & Bedford 1988). They also reflect a continuum of decreasing information concerning their regional extent and biological effects. These classes include four of the five disturbance categories identified by Bacon (1993b) as requiring management action in the insular Caribbean. We do not discuss separately disturbance to mangal resulting from changes in upland hydrology due to road construction, dyking, etc. Although upland hydrological changes can severely impact mangrove forests, existing data are rarely quantitative (Bacon 1975, 1993a, 1993b; Díaz & Zelwer 1985, UNEP 1994). Bacon (pers. comm.) suggests that the lack of attention to linkages between mangrove and upland forests may stem from the perception that the functional landward limit of a mangrove ecosystem is the high-water line.

EXTRACTION

Wood and wood products.—Caribbean mangroves have been harvested extensively for fuelwood and charcoal, poles, and saw timber (Hamilton & Snedaker 1984, Winograd 1987, Alarcón & Conde 1993, Bacon 1993a, Polanía & Mainardi 1993). Mangrove bark is now only a minor commercial source for tannins, although large expanses of Caribbean mangroves were cut over for tannin production from the early phases of European colonization until the middle of this century (Hamilton & Snedaker 1984, Alarcón & Conde 1993, Bacon 1993a). Early silvicultural trials were undertaken using *L. racemosa* in Puerto Rico (Wadsworth 1959), but only one commercial silvicultural operation was attempted, and subsequently failed (leaving a destroyed swamp) in Caribbean mangal: the Guarapiche Forest Reserve north of Venezuela's Orinoco Delta (Alarcón & Conde 1993).

Small-scale, selective extraction of individual mangrove trees has little effect on mangal, but kills individual trees. *Rhizophora* spp. and *P. rhizophorae* do not resprout following cutting, while *Avicennia* spp., *Conocarpus* spp. and *L. racemosa* can resprout (Wadsworth 1959, Roth 1992). No data are avail-

able, however, on the relative contributions of each species to local extractive use. Clear-cutting mangroves, on scales as small as single hectares, results in rapid soil sulfide accumulation and subsequent soil acidification (Hamilton & Snedaker 1984). This can limit seedling regeneration on cleared sites and may explain declining yields of mangrove silvicultural operations in Venezuela (Alarcón & Conde 1993) and elsewhere in the world (Gong & Ong 1995), although local geomorphology can affect long-term success or failure of mangrove silvicultural operations (A. E. Lugo, pers. comm.).

FISHERIES.—People have collected mangrove-associated shellfish and finfish for millennia (e.g., Sanoja 1989). Although there are few biological impacts to mangal associated with small-scale artesanal fisheries, larger commercial fisheries may disrupt mangrove-associated food webs. Conversely, large-scale extraction of mangroves for wood products or reclamation of mangroves for other purposes, including mariculture, may reduce fish yields by removing habitat for juveniles (see RECLAMATION, below). Documented declines in fish and prawn catches concurrent with loss of mangal have been observed in El Salvador (Daugherty 1975), India (Macintosh 1982), and throughout Asia (e.g., Martosubroto & Naamin 1977, Turner 1977), but there have been no attempts to correlate changes in fish yields with changes in mangrove cover in the Caribbean.

Paralleling the 10 percent decline in mangrove area from the early 1980's to the early 1990's (Table 1), the total marine fish catch also declined 10 percent in the Caribbean, exclusive of Venezuela (which accounts for 97 percent of the region's catch and has a substantial mid-Atlantic fishery), and Guatemala, Costa Rica, and Colombia (whose fisheries are primarily Pacific) (FAO 1993b). Mangrove-associated fisheries in Venezuela are reported to be declining (Alarcón & Conde 1993), although precise figures are unavailable. This decline has occurred despite steady increases in fishing effort throughout the 1980's (Richards & Bohnsack 1990). Between 1982 and 1991, penaeid shrimp catches in the insular Caribbean declined by 51 percent, and collection of mangrove oysters *Crassostrea rhizophorae* Guilding declined by 20 percent (FAO 1993b). There is also evidence that introduced species (e.g., *Tilapia mossambica* [Peters]) are displacing native, commercially important species in Puerto Rican mangrove estuaries (Burger et al. 1992). Without careful attention to declining habitat quality and enforcement of fishing quotas, the entire Caribbean LME fishery is expected to collapse within decades (Richards & Bohnsack 1990).

POLLUTION

PETROLEUM.—Oil pollution resulting from offshore oil exploration and production, pipelines, tanker accidents, and intentional clearing of ship's ballast tanks affects mangal throughout the Caribbean (reviews in Rodriguez 1981, Burns et al. 1993, IUCN 1993a). This problem has been recognized for decades (Odum & Johannes 1975, Rodriguez 1981, Getter 1982, Lewis 1983, Lacerda et al. 1993).

Prior to the 1980's, there were several notable spills that severely impacted mangroves (Lewis 1983). The *Argea Prima* spilled $\approx 1.4 \times 10^7$ l of crude oil onto the southwest coast of Puerto Rico in July 1962. Díaz-Pferrer (1962) reported that oil accumulated among mangrove roots, killing large numbers of invertebrates, fish, and turtles. This same area was affected by the *Zoe Colocotronis* spill in August 1973 (7.4×10^6 l of crude oil). Hydrocarbon residues from both of these spills were still detectable at Bahía Sucia in soil cores sampled in the late 1980's (Corredor et al. 1990). Mutagenesis of *R. mangle* seedlings resulting from sediment hydrocarbons has been observed there and throughout the Caribbean (Klekowski et al. 1994a). Rützler & Sterrer (1970) documented massive mortalities of mangrove trees, roots, and associated fauna following the *Witwater* wreck in December 1968 (4×10^6 l of diesel oil and heavy crude oil) off Galeta Island, Panamá. This mangal again was affected severely by the 1986 spill of 1.5×10^7 l of medium-weight crude oil from Refinería Panamá (Keller & Jackson 1993). Five ha of mangroves on St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands were completely destroyed by the *Santa Augusta* spill in 1971 (1.3×10^7 l of crude oil). No recovery of the mangroves was observed there 7 yrs later (Getter et al. 1985).

There were 24–31 oil spills near Caribbean coastlines in the 1980's (Burns et al. 1993, Lacerda et al. 1993). The best available data on effects of oil spills on mangrove flora, fauna, and ecosystem processes come from before-and-after studies conducted at the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute following the 1986 spill at Galeta, Panamá (Keller & Jackson 1993). Consistent with previous spills, massive tree defoliation, followed by seedling, sapling, and tree death occurred rapidly (Garrity et al. 1994). Associated sessile marine fauna suffered more severe immediate and long-term effects than mobile species (Levings & Garrity 1994). Re-

duction of *R. mangle* prop root density by 33–74 percent (Garrity *et al.* 1994) dramatically reduced available habitat for sessile and motile invertebrates (Levings & Garrity 1994). Data from Puerto Rico dating from the 1970's and 1980's showed that oil persists for decades in mangrove sediments (Corredor *et al.* 1990). Similarly, there was continual release of toxic hydrocarbon residues from the sediment back into the water column and surrounding ecosystems at Galeta that continues to result in lethal and sub-lethal effects on marine flora and fauna (Burns *et al.* 1993, Burns & Yelle-Simmons 1994, Levings *et al.* 1994). The consensus of the Galeta study was that a *minimum* of 20 yrs is probably required for mangal to recover from catastrophic oil spills (Burns *et al.* 1993).

Restoration of oil-impacted mangal in the Caribbean has been rarely attempted and has succeeded only marginally (Getter *et al.* 1984). Long residence times of oil in mangrove sediments and attendant effects on transplanted seedlings, rapid erosion of deforested peat, rapid increases in soil sulfide levels, and lack of resources for long-term (> 10 yr) maintenance of restored areas all have contributed to failures of mangrove restoration projects (Getter *et al.* 1984). Use of mangroves as indicators of hydrocarbon pollutant levels (Klekowski *et al.* 1994b), and detection of these pollutants in mangrove estuaries far from refinery complexes (e.g., Bernard *et al.* 1995) indicate that the Caribbean may have higher overall levels of hydrocarbons than suspected previously (Atwood *et al.* 1987). These conditions will make mangal restoration more difficult.

Continued dependency of most Caribbean states on imported oil for energy needs (Hinrichsen 1981), the existence of large refinery and transshipment complexes throughout the region (Burns *et al.* 1993), and the approximately 7×10^7 tons of oil transported annually through the Panamá Canal (D'Croz 1993) strongly suggest that petroleum will continue to be the major pollutant impacting Caribbean mangal. Unfortunately, it is difficult to anticipate where future spills will occur. Maps of high risk zones for future spills produced in the early 1980's (Rodriguez 1981) were poor predictors of locations and types of subsequent spills.

THERMAL POLLUTION.—Thermal pollution from power-plant cooling systems was identified as a hazard to mangal flora and fauna by Kolehmainen *et al.* (1974) and Canoy (1975). In the tropics, cooling towers are impractical, and condensers are cooled dissipatively using local water bodies (Kolehmainen *et al.* 1974). The biological effects of thermal pollution (water temperatures 35–40°C) on mangroves include: reductions in leaf area and leaf area index; achlorophylly; reduced net photosynthesis; and increased photorespiration (Kolehmainen *et al.* 1974, Canoy 1975). High mortality of mangroves close to a power plant at Guayanilla, Puerto Rico, was caused by sediment erosion resulting from increased current flow associated with the outflow pipe. Water temperature increases alone (> 31°C) caused species richness of sessile and mobile marine invertebrates to decline by 50–90 percent and Shannon-Weiner diversity (H) to decline by 75 percent (Kolehmainen *et al.* 1974). Additional losses of marine invertebrate species can also occur due to habitat (tree) loss following bank erosion and collapse. There are no recent studies on thermal pollution impacts to mangal elsewhere in the Caribbean, despite repeated identification of this as a research priority (*e.g.*, Linden & Jernelov 1980). Because thermal pollution is a continuous stress on mangal, it is difficult to imagine that such forests can recover from thermal effects until a power plant is decommissioned.

OTHER POLLUTANTS.—Little attention has been paid to other pollutants of Caribbean mangal (Odum & Johannes 1975). While stressing that petroleum was the primary pollutant throughout the Caribbean, Rodriguez (1981) identified four other locally or regionally important pollutants: mercury (Colombia, Cuba; see also Winograd [1987]); mine tailings and compounds (*e.g.*, sodium hydroxide) associated with bauxite mines (Cuba, Jamaica, and the U.S. Virgin Islands; see also Odum & Johannes [1975]); sewage (Cuba, Haiti, Jamaica, Puerto Rico, Venezuela; see also Montgomery & Price [1979], Canestri & Ruiz [1973]); and urban runoff (Cuba, Dominican Republic). More recently, pesticide runoff, heavy metals from industrial activities, and domestic sewage in Belize, Colombia, Cuba, Jamaica, Nicaragua, Puerto Rico, and Trinidad & Tobago (Winograd 1987, Katz 1989, Alvarez-León 1993, Bacon 1993a, Goodbody 1993, Padrón *et al.* 1993, Polanía 1993, Polanía & Mainardi 1993); solid waste dumping throughout the Lesser Antilles, Jamaica, and Trinidad & Tobago (Bacon 1993a), and dredge spoil dumping in Antigua (Bacon 1993c) again have been identified as pollutant hazards in Caribbean mangal.

Low rates of primary production by Cuban mangroves have been attributed to pesticide contamination (Sansón & Rueda 1982). Mangrove ar-

eas of Guadeloupe and Martinique were considered highly polluted by sewage and industrial wastes (Saenger *et al.* 1983). Aquatic N_2O concentrations were highest (400% saturation) in mangal close to wastewater treatment plants at La Parguera, Puerto Rico (Aguilera *et al.* 1992). This may be related to recently-observed increased fungal attack on, canker development by, and extensive die-back of *R. mangle* in sheltered bays near La Parguera (Tattar *et al.* 1994). *Rhizophora mangle* roots took up heavy metals leached from sewage sludge in Puerto Rican mesocosm experiments and these metals may be rapidly concentrated by primary and secondary consumers in mangal (Montgomery & Price 1979). Alcolado (1986) illustrated decreases in species richness and *H'* with increased water contamination (unspecified pollutants) in Cuba. High rates of sedimentation and eutrophication associated with urban development led to loss of several species of mangrove-associated ascidians and changes in epibenthic community structure in Kingston Harbor, Jamaica between 1963 and 1992 (Goodbody 1993).

Increased sewage load and higher water temperatures are associated with increased fecal coliform count and increased concentration of *Vibrio* spp., which cause seafood poisoning, in shellfish collected from mangal (Rivera *et al.* 1989). Although Rodriguez (1981) also suspected that coastal hotels and resort complexes would increase sewage loading to nearby mangal, concrete data were, and remain, unavailable. The increasing pace of industrial and tourist development (Beekhuis 1981, Wilson 1987), and the continued lack of sewage treatment (Reid 1981) throughout the Caribbean, however, suggests that non-hydrocarbon pollution will increasingly impact mangal and humans using this ecosystem. The recent and rapidly increasing incidence of gastroenteritis in coastal regions of Puerto Rico inferentially supports this conclusion (Hazen 1988).

RECLAMATION

Reclamation is defined as "mak[ing] (marshland, for example) suitable for cultivation or habitation, as by filling, irrigating, or fertilizing; or to procure (usable substances) from refuse or waste products" (American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language 1978). This definition implies that mangal, like other swamp lands, is without value, except as land to be converted to other uses. Despite apparent improvements in attitudes concerning wetlands observable in this hemisphere as early as 1850

(Miller 1989), contemporary recognition of the economic value of mangal for fisheries, coastal stabilization, and ecotourism (*e.g.*, Bacon 1992, Lugo & Bayle 1992), and a panoply of legislation (*e.g.*, Price *et al.* 1992, Alarcón & Conde 1993, Alvarez-León 1993, D'Croz 1993, Polanía & Mainardi 1993), Caribbean mangal continues to be reclaimed. There are few reliable estimates of reclaimed mangal area, other than those lumped within general loss of mangrove cover. Past reclamation efforts were observed but rarely catalogued (Canestri & Ruiz 1973, Bacon 1975, 1993b, Saenger *et al.* 1983, Winograd 1987), and current reclamation schemes often are based on piecemeal permits or poorly-regulated government concessions (*e.g.*, Sebastiani *et al.* 1994).

Indigenous peoples reclaimed mangal for shifting agriculture (Bloom *et al.* 1983, Sanoja 1989), but these areas were small enough, and land-use brief enough, for mangrove vegetation to recover when environmental conditions were favorable (Sanoja 1989). Large areas of Caribbean mangroves were reclaimed to develop large cities and industrial complexes in Venezuela, Colombia, Puerto Rico, and Jamaica (Canestri & Ruiz 1973, Hudson 1983, Saenger *et al.* 1983, Winograd 1987, Alarcón & Conde 1993, Alvarez-León 1993, Bacon 1993a). Spectacular examples include the development of Cartagena, Colombia, on a reclaimed mangrove swamp (Alvarez-León 1993); the almost complete deforestation since 1950 of the mangroves surrounding Lake Maracaibo, Venezuela for tourism and oil refineries (Alarcón & Conde 1993); and the destruction of mangal in the Parque Nacional Laguna Tacarigua, Venezuela, through deforestation, dredging, urbanization, and changes in local and upland hydrology (Díaz & Zelwer 1985).

TOURISM.—Reclamation efforts in the last two decades derive primarily from the burgeoning tourist industry (Beekhuis 1981, Hudson 1983, Wilson 1987, Alarcón & Conde 1993, Bacon 1993a). Mangal has been filled for resort and marina development, golf courses, road construction, airport expansion, insect control, and solid-waste disposal. Use of herbicides, such as 2–4-D, in such reclamation schemes has been shown to have persistent effects on mangrove growth and production (Teas 1976, Díaz & Zelwer 1985). Even where mangroves nominally are protected, it is relatively easy to obtain a permit to clear trees for development projects. Egregious examples include: the loss, between 1977 and 1990 of ≈97 percent of all wet-

lands on Grand Cayman for urban and tourist developments (Bacon 1993a); construction of tourist resorts and associated coastal developments on > 50 percent of the mangroves of Barbados (Bacon 1993a); and clearing and filling, for summer home construction, of a section of a 100 ha mangrove island in Belize that has been used for 20 yrs by the Smithsonian Institution as a field site for studies of mangrove ecology and systematics (A. M. Ellison & E. J. Farnsworth, pers. obs.). The permit for the latter was issued despite the Government's stated intent to include the entire island within a proposed marine park.

Although mangal most often is cleared to construct tourist resorts, there are a few instances where mangroves themselves are promoted for tourism (Bacon 1987, Barzetti 1993). The Caroni swamp in Trinidad is known world-wide for its resident, accessible breeding colony of scarlet ibis (*Eudocimus ruber* L.) within a 37 km² mangal. Ecotourism at Caroni directly contributes ≈U.S. $120,000 annually to the local economy (N. Gyan, Acting Director of Wildlife Section, Trinidad Government Forestry Division, pers. comm. to P. R. Bacon, 1995), although this may undervalue the overall contribution of this mangal to the local economy by ≈ 50 percent (Bacon 1992, and pers. comm.). Visitors to Morrocoy National Park, Venezuela, can also view scarlet ibis on boat trips through mangrove channels (A. M. Ellison & E. J. Farnsworth, pers. obs.), and spend ≈U.S. $7 million per yr there (Dugan 1990). Watching manatees (*Trichechus manatus* L.) and sport-fishing in mangal are promoted in Belize (A. M. Ellison & E. J. Farnsworth, pers. obs.), although there are no reliable data on either number of participants or income derived. While mangroves may provide some income to local tour operators, and they are viewed as a tourist resource ripe for development (Bacon 1987, Barzetti 1993), we have observed significant bank erosion and fringing tree collapse resulting from boat wakes (E. J. Farnsworth & A. M. Ellison, pers. obs.) that may limit the long-term sustainability of mangrove ecotourism. Similarly, demands by tourists for other amenities may increase their impact on surrounding wetlands. For example, tourists use at least seven times as much water and power per capita as residents in the insular Caribbean (Beekhuis 1981). Populations of bioluminescent dinoflagellates collapsed during construction (never completed) of a resort complex associated with promotion of the Phosphorescent Lagoon in Jamaica (Hudson 1983). The dinofla-

gellate population has not recovered, and the lagoon is no longer a major tourist attraction.

AQUACULTURE.—Although widespread in Asian and Ecuadorian mangal, construction of fish, shrimp, and salt ponds in mangal is relatively uncommon in the Caribbean. Relatively small areas of mangal (≈2,054 ha between 1984 and 1991) have been converted to shrimp ponds adjacent to other reclamation projects in Colombia (Alvarez-León 1993). The governments of Belize and Venezuela have attempted to locate shrimp ponds outside of mangrove areas that have been recognized as key fishing grounds (Price *et al.* 1992, Sebastiani *et al.* 1994). Fish and shrimp ponds have been constructed in or near Jamaican mangal, while salt ponds were constructed and subsequently abandoned in mangal on the Turks & Caicos Islands and St. Kitts (Bacon 1993a).

EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

The rubric of 'climate change' encompasses a suite of climatic, atmospheric and sea-level changes, already underway and accelerating, that will alter dramatically Caribbean mangal. Several speculative reviews recently have discussed the implications of climate change for global mangrove productivity and persistence (Ellison 1994, UNEP 1994, Field 1995), prioritized research questions (Davis *et al.* 1994), and presented hypotheses regarding mangrove ecosystem response (UNEP 1994, Snedaker 1995).

Observed increases in mean atmospheric temperature are probably caused by rising levels of atmospheric CO_2 and CH_4 resulting from fossil fuel combustion and deforestation (Wigley & Raper 1992). However, predicting the magnitude of regional temperature change is complicated by inter-annual variability and interactions among climatic and anthropogenic forcing factors. Mean global air temperature at sea level has risen approximately 0.5°C since 1850 (0.003°C yr⁻¹; Houghton *et al.* 1990). In contrast, since 1941, mean annual temperature has increased twice as fast in the insular Caribbean (0.006–0.048°C yr⁻¹), but decreased 0.019–0.066°C per yr on the mainland (Granger 1991). Overall, mean monthly temperatures in the Caribbean region are expected to increase 1.0–2.3°C within the next 50 yrs from a current mean monthly temperature of ≈25°C (Hanson & Maul 1989). Because mean air temperatures would have to exceed ≈38°C before significant leaf drop or mass tree mortality ensues, and mangrove fauna

TABLE 2. *ESTIMATED sea level change, and mangrove forest types* (sensu *Lugo & Snedaker 1974*) *and areal extent associated with localities for which sea level change estimates are available. Mangrove areas (ha) are reported conservatively for known swamps occurring within a 30-km radius of the site for which sea level change was determined. Data on sea level change are estimates of rates inclusive of the past 4000 yrs of relative Holocene stabilization only. Methods of estimating sea level change vary, and include* [14]*C dating of mangrove peat samples (Maul & Martin 1993), >10 yr tide gauge readings (Aubrey et al. 1988, Granger 1991, Burton 1994), and studies of Holocene reef development in the* Acropora palmata *framework of the western Atlantic (Lighty et al. 1982).*

Country—Location	Area of mangrove forest types (ha)				Estimated sea level change (mm·yr^{-1})
	Riverine	Basin	Lagoon/ fringe	Coral cay	
Barbados	≈8[a]	≈122[a]	0	0	+0.6[b]
Colombia—Cartagena	0	0	14,280[c]	0	+3.6[d]
Colombia—Riohacha	0	0	7005[c,e]	0	+2.0[d]
Costa Rica—Puerto Limón	0	≈5000[c]	0	0	+1.6[d]
Cuba—Guantanamo Bay	0	0	15,000[c]	0	+1.8[d]
Dominican Republic—Barahona	0	0	0	694[c]	−2.3[d]
Dominican Republic—Puerto Plata	<1000[c]	0	0	0	+4.4[d]
Grand Cayman Island	0	4531[a]	2252[a]	0	+2.4[f]
Haiti—Port-au-Prince	0	0	≈5000[c]	0	+9.3[d]
Honduras—Puerto Castilla	29,700[c]	0	4300[c]	0	+3.2[d]
Honduras—Puerto Cortés	≈50,000[c]	0	0	0	+8.9[d]
Jamaica—Black River	5700[g]	0	0	0	+0.3[h]
Jamaica—Negril	2300[g]	0	0	0	+0.3[h]
Jamaica—Port Royal	0	0	130[a]	0	+0.5[d]
Martinique	0	0	2200[c,g]	0	+1.6[i]
Panamá—Cristobal	NA	NA	NA	NA	+1.1[b], +0.9[i]
Panamá—Galeta	0	0	4100[k]	0	+1.3[i]
Puerto Rico—Mayagüez	0	850[c]	0	0	+1.9[d]
Puerto Rico—San Juan	0	2020[c]	0	0	+2.1[d]
Puerto Rico—Vieques Island	NA	NA	NA	NA	+3.2[i]
St. Croix	1002[a]	488[a]	10[a]	0	+3.9[i]

[a] Bacon (1993a); [b] Granger (1991); [c] Scott & Carbonell (1986); [d] Aubrey *et al.* (1988); [e] Alvarez-León (1993); [f] Burton (1994); [g] Lugo & Brown (1988); [h] Digerfeldt & Hendry (1987); [i] Lighty *et al.* (1982); [j] Pirazzoli (1986); [k] Garrity *et al.* (1994).

will not suffer until air or water temperatures exceed 31°C, atmospheric warming may not directly impact Caribbean mangal in the near future. However, other facets of climate change will have more significant effects on Caribbean mangal.

Higher atmospheric temperatures will be associated with soil warming, altered weather patterns, and sea level rise, all of which could profoundly influence mangrove growth and mortality. Implications of soil warming—increased soil respiration, peat decomposition, CH_4 or H_2S release, and root turnover—have not been explored hypothetically or experimentally for mangal. Predicting future trends in Caribbean weather patterns and hurricane dynamics is not yet possible; models disagree as to whether rainfall or storm frequency and intensity will increase or decrease (reviewed by Granger 1991). Improving such predictions is critical, as present-day mangal physiography may be controlled by frequency and intensity of hurricanes within the Caribbean hurricane belt (Brunt & Burton 1994; Smith *et al.* 1994).

In contrast, there has been much published discussion on sea level rise (SLR) in the Caribbean. Thermal expansion of oceans, and melting of ice caps and alpine glaciers, are predicted to contribute to a substantial global rise in sea level, although the magnitude of this increase will vary regionally. Estimates of recent relative SLR around the Caribbean basin (Table 2) range from −2.3 mm per yr at Barahona, Dominican Republic, to +9.3 mm per yr at Port-au-Prince, Haiti (Aubrey *et al.* 1988), with a mean region-wide increase of 2.3 ± 2.6 mm per yr (SD, $N = 22$). Such local variability may result from tectonic activity and subsidence near plate boundaries (Gornitz *et al.* 1982, Aubrey *et al.* 1988). Different estimation methods (*e.g.*, ^{14}C, ^{137}Cs, or ^{210}Pb-dating of peat cores; tide gauge readings) employ disparate assumptions and produce SLR curves for very different time scales

(Lynch *et al.* 1989). Consistent, long-term monitoring must be applied systematically around the Caribbean to produce a coherent regional profile of ongoing SLR.

The ramifications of rising sea level for mangrove survival and community structure also are debated. Peat stratigraphy analyses from Bermuda (Ellison & Stoddart 1991, Ellison 1993) and Grand Cayman Island (Woodroffe 1982) indicate a landward migration or die-off of fringing mangroves during periods of Holocene transgression (= relative sea level rise) exceeding 0.8–0.9 mm per yr. Snedaker *et al.* (1994) and Maul & Martin (1993) contend that mangroves have expanded near Key West, Florida during transgression episodes of 2.3 mm per yr. Parkinson *et al.* (1994) project that mangroves on Caribbean carbonate platforms will be submerged if sea level rise exceeds 8 mm per yr. Regardless of their past or ideal physiological and ecological capacity to migrate as sea levels change, future mangal range shifts will be constrained severely by highways, urbanization, and other human impediments.

Local sedimentation regimes can offset some fraction of sea level rise (Pernetta 1993). Areas with high alluvial or carbonate inputs may accrete at rates matching transgressions for a time, while erosive and low-lying environments will be particularly vulnerable. Long-term studies of field mangrove populations support this conclusion. Stem growth, and leaf and meristem production of *R. mangle* saplings on a slowly accreting cay in Belize were significantly lower relative to populations at rapidly-accreting cays (Ellison & Farnsworth in press). Several species of mangrove seedlings grown in artificially flooded lab trials (Naidoo 1985, Farnsworth *et al.* 1995, Hovenden *et al.* 1995) show increased foliar sodium, and depressed water potentials, stomatal conductance, photosynthesis, oxygen transport through aerenchyma, and growth following prolonged inundation and soil anaerobiosis. Bacon (1994) reviewed methods for evaluating the risks of sea-level rise to different geomorphological types (*sensu* Lugo & Snedaker 1974) of Caribbean mangrove forests. Up to 54,900 ha (35%) of Caribbean fringe or island mangal in this sub-region may be affected imminently by rising sea level (Table 2), while riverine systems ($\approx$ 90,000 ha or 57% of areal extent) may not shrink as quickly. Although total extent of mangal in the Caribbean is known better than ever before (Table 1), precise areal extents of different mangrove types throughout the Caribbean, their proximity to human settlements, and interspecific differences in flooding responses

are needed to comprehend the magnitude of potential community change or loss.

Elevated concentrations of atmospheric CO_2 will influence mangrove growth in ways that may ameliorate or compound negative effects of rising tides. Physiological experiments predict that photosynthetic rates and water-use efficiency of paleotropical mangrove species will increase with increasing CO_2 (Ball & Munns 1992), a response typical of many carbon-limited C_3 species. *Rhizophora mangle* shows dramatic enhancement of stem elongation, meristem and leaf production, photosynthetic rates, stem lignification, and aerial root production when grown in twice-ambient CO_2 (Farnsworth *et al.* 1995). However, growth enhancements in elevated CO_2 rarely compensate for growth decreases observed under flooded conditions (Farnsworth *et al.* 1995). Additional long-term, controlled factorial studies of temperature, CO_2 and tidal interactions, interspecific comparisons across ontogeny, and field studies in a range of ecosystem types are needed to assess the potential effects of rising CO_2 and sea level on neotropical mangroves. Scaling up from responses of individual mangrove species to mangal-wide changes expected under climate change scenarios remains challenging. It is still impossible to predict if mangal will become a source or a sink for atmospheric CO_2 as air temperatures rise (Twilley *et al.* 1992, Ong 1993).

CONSERVATION AND PROTECTION OF CARIBBEAN MANGAL

In most insular Caribbean countries, there is no legislation specifically covering mangrove management (Bacon 1993a, Padrón *et al.* 1993), and we predict continued rapid loss of mangal. Because most mangal occurs on public (state-owned) lands, laws related to protection of wildlife (especially birds), forest management, and control of sewage disposal could be used in mangrove conservation programs. There has been some progress in creating protected areas that include mangrove stands (Barzetti 1993, IUCN 1993b, Giglioli 1994). Mainland countries nominally regulate mangrove cutting more strictly (Alarcón & Conde 1993, Ellison, in press). Government-issued permits are required to cut a single mangrove tree in Belize, Costa Rica, and Nicaragua (Price *et al.* 1992, Polanía 1993, Polanía & Mainardi 1993), although enforcement is virtually non-existent. Use of mangrove forest resources on the Caribbean coast of Colombia is completely prohibited (Alvarez-León 1993).

TABLE 3. *CARIBBEAN states that have ratified (R) or signed but not yet ratified (S) international environmental agreements that could apply to conservation and management of mangroves. Signatory status from Sand (1992), CIA (1995), and D. Peck (Ramsar Bureau, pers. comm.). The signatory status of the Caribbean states that are not independent is governed by the signatory status of the colonial (administrative) power (see Table 1).*

Country	Treaty[a]										
	NPWP	Ramsar	WCNH	MPD	CITES	MARPOL	LOS	ITTA	CAR	HAZ	BIO
Independent states											
Antigua & Barbuda			R	R		R	R		R	R	R
Bahamas					R	R	R		R	R	R
Barbados							R		R		S
Belize			R		R		R				R
Colombia			R	R	R	R	S		R	S	S
Costa Rica	R	R	R	R	R		R	R	R		S
Cuba			R		R		R		R		R
Dominica											
Dominican Republic	R		R	R	R		R				S
Grenada	R		R	R	R		R		R		
Guatemala	R	R	R	R			S		R	S	S
Haiti	R	R	R	R			S				S
Honduras			R	R	R		R	R			S
Jamaica			R			R	R		R		S
Nicaragua	R		R	R	R		S				S
Panamá	R	R	R		R	R	S	R	R	R	R
St. Kitts & Nevis			R		R		R		R		R
St. Lucia			R	R	R	R	R		R	R	
St. Vincent & Grenadines											
Trinidad and Tobago	R	R			R		R	R	R	R	S
Venezuela	R	R	R						R	S	S
Colonial powers											
France		R	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	S
Netherlands		R		R	R	R	S	R	R	R	S
United Kingdom		R	R	R	R	R		R	R	R	S
United States	R	R	R	R	R	R		R	R	S	S

[a] Treaty abbreviations: NPWP: Convention on Nature Protection and Wildlife Preservation in the Western Hemisphere (Washington, 12 October 1940; membership restricted to members of the Organization of American States); Ramsar: Convention on Wetlands of International Importance Especially as Waterfowl Habitat (Ramsar, 2 February 1971); WCNH: Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage (Paris, 23 November 1972); MPD: Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (London, 29 December 1972); CITES: Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (Washington, 3 March 1973); MARPOL: International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (London, 2 November 1973); LOS: United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (Montego Bay, 10 December 1982); ITTA: International Tropical Timber Agreement (Geneva, 18 November 1983); CAR: Convention for the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment of the Wider Caribbean Region (Cartagena, 24 March 1983); HAZ: Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal (Basel, 22 March 1989); BIO: Convention on Biological Diversity (Rio de Janeiro, June 1992).

There are at least 11 international treaties and conventions that could be used to protect or sustainably manage Caribbean mangal (see Table 3 for abbreviations and signatory status). Six international treaties could be used to protect mangal and maintain it for indigenous use and sensitive development of ecotourism if additional Caribbean nations would sign and ratify them: the NPWP, Ramsar, WCNH, CITES, Cartagena and Biodiversity Conventions. Four international agreements could be used to limit pollution in the Caribbean: MPD, MARPOL, LOS, and HAZ. The Cartagena Convention (CAR) has protocols both for protection of mangal and for cooperative responses to oil spills. Guidelines for oil exploration and production that explicitly account for the fragility of mangal have been promulgated recently (IUCN 1993a). The ITTA applies to mangrove forest resources, but few Caribbean countries are signatories, and application of Tropical Forestry Action Plans to Caribbean mangal is sparse (Lugo & Bayle 1992).

General principles derived from other Caribbean ecosystems, especially upland wet forests and coral reefs, are not strictly applicable to predicting mangrove resiliency to, and recovery from anthropogenic disturbance. Three ecological differences pertain. First, Caribbean mangal includes at most seven tree species, and in most areas, only four. These few 'structural' species (sensu Huston 1994) are the habitat for a far more diverse 'interstitial' species assemblage. Thus, loss of a single tree species reverberates through the system, but the 'ecosystem functions' of each mangrove species have not been quantified in ways that can inform management decisions about conserving forests or identifying "redundant" species (Twilley et al., in press). Second, these intertidal systems are linked hydrodynamically to both upstream terrestrial ecosystems and downstream marine communities (e.g., Twilley et al. 1992, Wolanski 1992). Productivity and disturbance of upland communities strongly affect dynamics of downstream mangal, seagrass beds and coral reefs, while coral reefs and seagrass beds buffer mangrove forests from wave action (Ogden & Gladfelter 1983, Birkeland 1987). Finally, although recolonization of disturbed areas is limited in mangal, as in other ecosystems, by propagule availability, physiological tolerances, and seed predation (e.g., Smith et al. 1989, Ellison & Farnsworth 1993, McKee 1995, Farnsworth & Ellison, in press), the rapid deterioration and acidification of mangrove soils following disturbance constrains restoration efforts and demands rapid responses.

With the exception of projected climate change effects, the consequences to mangal of anthropogenic stressors are amply documented and well appreciated by biologists, managers, and local communities using mangrove resources. Amelioration of anthropogenic disturbances to Caribbean mangal requires a diversity of strategies that account for the different spatial and temporal scales on which different disturbance classes operate. Laws prohibiting extraction and cutting of small or declining mangrove stands must be enforced, while management plans that encourage participation of local residents and land managers must be simultaneously developed to prevent unsustainable exploitation of larger mangrove forests in the near term. Although mangal requires several decades to recover from oil and chemical spills, region-wide adoption of, and adherence to, international protocols for use and transport of petroleum and other hazardous materials can limit future chemical pollution of mangal in the region. Development of renewable energy sources (e.g., solar, wind, and tidal) could decrease regional dependence on fossil fuels and reduce the impact of energy-related pollutants on mangal within the next 50–100 yrs. Continued work on changing individual and cultural attitudes regarding the value of wetlands, and consistent regulation of the regional tourism industry may reduce future efforts to 'reclaim' mangal. In concert with actions to limit deforestation and pollution, restoration of areas where reclamation was unsuccessful could result in a long-term increase of mangal area throughout the Caribbean. Finally, the coming century will witness increasing atmospheric CO_2 concentration, air and water temperatures, and rapidly changing sea levels in the Caribbean region. Future distribution of mangrove ecosystems and their responses to these widespread, chronic environmental changes depend heavily on how well mangroves are managed today.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

LITERATURE CITED

AGUILERA, A., J. E. CORREDOR, AND J. M. MORELL. 1992. Oxido nitroso en el mar caribe nororiental. Carib. J. Sci. 28: 70–80.

ALARCÓN, C., AND J. E. CONDE. 1993. Mangroves of Venezuela. *In* L. D. Lacerda (Ed.). Conservation and sustainable utilization of mangrove forests in Latin America and Africa regions. Part I—Latin America, pp. 211–244. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystems, Okinawa.

ALCOLADO, P. 1986. Utilidad de algunos índices ecológicos estructurales en el estudio de comunidades marinas de Cuba. Ciencias Biológicas 11: 61–78.

ALVAREZ-LEÓN, R. 1993. Mangrove ecosystems of Colombia. *In* L. D. Lacerda (Ed.). Conservation and sustainable utilization of mangrove forests in Latin America and Africa regions. Part I—Latin America, pp. 75–114. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystems, Okinawa.

ATWOOD, D. K., F. J. BURTON, J. E. CORREDOR, G. R. HARVEY, A. J. MATA-JIMENEZ, A. VASQUEZ-BOTELLO, AND B. A. WADE. 1987. Petroleum pollution in the Caribbean. Oceanus 30: 25–32.

AUBREY, D. G., K. O. EMERY, AND E. UCHUPI. 1988. Changing coastal levels of South America and the Caribbean region from tide-gauge records. Tectonophysics 154: 269–284.

AUSTIN, H. M. 1971. A survey of the ichthyofauna of the mangroves of western Puerto Rico during December 1967– August 1968. Carib. J. Sci. 11: 427–455.

BACON, P. R. 1975. Recovery of a Trinidadian mangrove swamp from attempted reclamation. *In* G. E. Walsh, S. C. Snedaker, and H. J. Teas (Eds.). Proceedings of the international symposium on biology and management of mangroves, pp. 805–815. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Univ. of Florida, Gainesville.

———. 1987. Use of wetlands for tourism in the insular Caribbean. Ann. Tour. Res. 14: 104–117.

———. 1992. The role of evaluation in Caribbean wetlands managment. *In* A. E. Lugo and B. Bayle (Eds.). Wetlands managment in the Caribbean and the role of forestry and wetlands in the economy, pp. 99–104. Institute of Tropical Forestry, Río Piedras.

———. 1993a. Mangroves in the Lesser Antilles, Jamaica and Trinidad & Tobago. *In* L. D. Lacerda (Ed.). Conservation and sustainable utilization of mangrove forests in Latin America and Africa regions. Part I—Latin America, pp. 155–210. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystems, Okinawa.

———. 1993b. Wetland restoration and rehabilitation in the insular Caribbean. *In* M. Moser, R. C. Prentice, and J. Van Vessem (Eds.). Waterfowl and wetland conservation in the 1990s—a global perspective, pp. 206–209. Proceedings of an IWRB Symposium, 12–19 November 1992, St. Petersburg Beach, Florida.

———. 1993c. Investigation of the impacts of dredge spoils on mangroves in Hanson's Bay, Antigua; with proposals for site rehabilitation. Report to the United Nations Centre for Human Settlements (UNCHS) and the Government of Antigua and Barguda, on a mission undertaken from 11–21 July 1993.

———. 1994. Template for evaluation of impacts of sea level rise on Caribbean coastal wetlands. Ecol. Engin. 3: 171–186.

BALL, M. C., AND R. MUNNS. 1992. Plant responses to salinity under elevated atmospheric concentrations of CO_2. Aust. J. Bot. 40: 515–525.

BARZETTI, V. EDITOR. 1993. Parks and progress: protected areas and economic development in Latin America and the Caribbean. IUCN, Cambridge.

BEEKHUIS, J. B. 1981. Tourism in the Caribbean: impacts on the economic, social and natural environments. Ambio 19: 325–331.

BERNARD, D., J.-J. JEREMIE, AND H. PASCALINE. 1995. First assessment of hydrocarbon pollution in a mangrove estuary. Mar. Poll. Bull. 30: 146–150.

BIRKELAND, C. 1987. Comparison between Atlantic and Pacific tropical marine coastal ecosystems: community structure, ecological processes, and productivity. UNESCO Reports in Marine Science 46.

BLISS-GUEST, P., AND A. RODRIGUEZ. 1981. The Caribbean action plan: a framework for sustainable development. Ambio 10: 346

BLOOM, P. R., M. POHL, C. BUTTLEMAN, F. WISEMAN, A. COVICH, C. MIKSICEK, J. BALL, AND J. STEIN. 1983. Prehistoric Maya wetland agriculture and the alluvial soils near San Antonio Rio Hondo, Belize. Nature 301: 417–419.

BRUNT, M. A., AND F. J. BURTON. 1994. Mangrove swamps of the Cayman Islands. *In* M. A. Brunt and J. E. Davies (Eds.). The Cayman Islands: natural history and biogeography, pp. 283–305. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

BURGER, J., K. COOPER, D. J. GOCHFELD, J. E. SALIVA, C. SAFINA, D. LIPSKY, AND M. GOCHFELD. 1992. Dominance of *Tilapia mossambica*, an introduced fish species, in three Puerto Rican estuaries. Estuaries 15: 239–245.

BURNS, K. A., AND L. YELLE-SIMMONS. 1994. The Galeta oil spill. IV. Relationship between sediment and organism hydrocarbon loads. Est., Coast. Shelf Sci. 38: 397–412.

———, S. D. GARRITY, AND S. C. LEVINGS. 1993. How many years until mangrove ecosystems recover from catastrophic oil spills? Mar. Poll. Bull. 26(5): 239–248.

BURTON, F. J. 1994. Climate and tides of the Cayman Islands. *In* M. A. Brunt and J. E. Davies (Eds.). The Cayman Islands: natural history and biogeography, pp. 51–60. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

CANESTRI, V., AND O. RUIZ. 1973. The destruction of mangroves. Mar. Poll. Bull. 4: 183–185.

CANOY, M. J. 1975. Diversity and stability in a Puerto Rican *Rhizophora mangle* L. forest. *In* G. E. Walsh, S. C.

Snedaker, and H. J. Teas (Eds.). Proceedings of the international symposium on biology and management of mangroves, pp. 344–356. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Univ. of Florida, Gainesville.

CIA. 1995. World Factbook 1994. Central Intelligence Agency, Washington, DC.

CORREDOR, J. E., J. M. MORRELL, AND C. E. DEL CASTILLO. 1990. Persistence of spilled crude oil in a tropical marine environment. Mar. Poll. Bull. 21: 385–388.

D'CROZ, L. 1993. Status and uses of mangroves in the Republic of Panamá. In L. D. Lacerda (Ed.). Conservation and sustainable utilization of mangrove forests in Latin America and Africa regions. Part I—Latin America, pp. 115–128. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystems, Okinawa.

DAVIS, W. P., K. W. THORNTON, AND B. LEVINSON. 1994. Framework for assessing effects of global climate change on mangrove ecosystems. Bull. Marine. Sci. 54: 1045–1058.

DAUGHERTY, H. E. 1975. Human impact on the mangrove forests of El Salvador. In G. E. Walsh, S. C. Snedaker, and H. J. Teas (Eds.). Proceedings of the international symposium on biology and managment of mangroves, pp. 816–824. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Univ. of Florida, Gainesville.

DÍAZ, H., AND M. ZELWER. 1985. ¿Ignorancia, inconsciencia o indolencia? Requiem para una laguna. Acta Científica Venezolana 36: 203–206.

DÍAZ-PFERRER, M. 1962. Effects of an oil spill on the shore of Guanica, Puerto Rico. Deep-Sea Research 2: 855–856.

DIGERFELDT, G., AND M. D. HENDRY. 1987. An 8000 year Holocene sea-level record from Jamaica: implications for interpretation of Caribbean reef and coastal history. Coral Reefs 5: 165–169.

DUGAN, P. J. 1990. Wetland conservation: a review of current issues and required action. IUCN, Gland.

ELLISON, A. M. In press. Wetlands of Central America. In D. F. Whigham, D. Dykyjová, and S. Hejny (Eds.). Wetlands of the world, volume 2, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

————, AND E. J. FARNSWORTH. 1993. Seedling survivorship, growth, and response to disturbance in Belizean mangal. Am. J. Bot. 80: 1137–1145.

————, and ————. In press. Spatial and temporal variability in growth of Rhizophora mangle saplings on coral cays: links with the variation in insolation, herbivory, and local sedimentation rate. J. Ecol. 84.

ELLISON, J. C. 1993. Mangrove retreat with rising sea-level, Bermuda. Est., Coast. Shelf Sci. 37: 75–87.

————. 1994. Climate change and sea-level rise impacts on mangrove ecosystems. In J. C. Pernetta, R. Leemans, D. Elder and S. Humphreys (Eds.). Impacts of climate change on ecosystems and species: marine and coastal ecosystems, pp. 11–30. IUCN, Gland.

————, AND D. R. STODDART. 1991. Mangrove ecosystem collapse during predicted sea-level rise: Holocene analogues and implications. J. Coastal Res. 7: 151–165.

FAO. 1993a. Forest resource assessment 1990: tropical countries. FAO Forestry Paper No. 112. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.

————. 1993b. 1991 yearbook of fishery statistics. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.

FARNSWORTH, E. J., AND A. M. ELLISON. 1996. Scale-dependent spatial and temporal variability in biogeography of mangrove-root epibiont communities. Ecol. Monogr. 66: 45–66.

————, AND ————. In press. Global patterns of pre-dispersal seed predation in mangrove forests. Biotropica.

————, ————, W. K. GONG, AND F. A. BAZZAZ. 1995. Ecophysiological responses of mangrove seedlings to two facets of climate change. Bull. Ecol. Soc. Am. 76 (Suppl.): 88 (abstract).

FIELD, C. D. 1995. Impact of expected climate change on mangroves. Hydrobiologia 295: 75–81.

GARRITY, S. D., S. C. LEVINGS, AND K. A. BURNS. 1994. The Galeta oil spill. I. Long-term effects on the physical structure of the mangrove fringe. Est., Coast. Shelf Sci. 38: 327–348.

GETTER, C. D. 1982. Oil spills and mangroves: a review of the literature, field and lab studies. In P. J. Rand (Ed.). Land and water issues related to energy development, pp. 303–318. Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA.

————, G. CINTRÓN, B. DICKS, R. R. LEWIS, III, AND E. D. SENECA. 1984. The recovery and restoration of salt marshes and mangroves following an oil spill. In J. Cairns, Jr. and A. L. Buikema, Jr. (Eds.). Restoration of habitats impacted by oil spills, pp. 65–114. Butterworth Publishers, Stoneham.

————, T. G. BALLOU, AND C. B. KOONS. 1985. Effects of dispersed oil on mangroves: synthesis of a seven-year study. Mar. Poll. Bull. 16: 318–324.

GIGLIOLI, M. E. C. 1994. The boom years in Grand Cayman: environmental deterioration and conservation. In M. A. Brunt and J. E. Davies (Eds.). The Cayman Islands: natural history and biogeography, pp. 509–526. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

GONG, W. K., AND J. E. ONG. 1995. The use of demographic studies in mangrove silviculture. Hydrobiologia 295: 255–261.

GOODBODY, I. 1993. The ascidian fauna of a Jamaican lagoon—30 years of change. Rev. Biol. Trop. 41: 35–38.

GORNITZ, V., S. LEBEDEFF, AND J. HANSEN. 1982. Global sea level trend in the past century. Science 215: 1611–1614.

GRAHAM, A. 1995. Diversification of Gulf/Caribbean mangrove communities through Cenozoic time. Biotropica 27: 20–27.

GRANGER, O. 1991. Climate change interactions in the greater Caribbean. Environ. Prof. 13: 43–58.

GROOMBRIDGE, B., EDITOR. 1992. Global biodiversity: status of the Earth's living resources. Chapman & Hall, London.

HAMILTON, L. S., AND S. C. SNEDAKER, EDITORS. 1984. Handbook for mangrove area management. UNEP, Gland.

HANSON, K., AND G. A. MAUL. 1989. Analysis of the historical meteorological record at Key West, Florida (1851–1986) for evidence of trace gas induced climate change. In G. A. Maul (Ed.). Implications of climatic changes in the wider Caribbean region, pp. 63–71. UNEP/IOC Regional Task Team Report.

HAZEN, T. C. 1988. Fecal coliforms as indicators in tropical waters, a review. Int. J. Tox. Assess. 3: 461–477.

HEATWOLE, H. 1985. Survey of the mangroves of Puerto Rico. . . a benchmark study. Carib. J. Sci. 21: 85–99.

HINRICHSEN, D. 1981. Energy resources in the wider Caribbean. Ambio 10: 332–334.

HOUGHTON, J. T., G. J. JENKINS, AND J. J. EPHRAUMS, EDITORS. 1990. Climate change: the IPCC scientific assessment. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

HOVENDEN, M. J., M. CURRAN, M. A. COLE, P. F. E. GOULTER, N. J. SKELTON, AND W. G. ALLAWAY. 1995. Ventilation and respiration in roots of one-year-old seedlings of grey mangrove Avicennia marina (Forsk) Vierh. Hydrobiologia 295: 23–29.

HUDSON, B. J. 1983. Wetland reclamation in Jamaica. Carib. Geog. 1: 75–88.

HUSTON, M. A. 1994. Biological diversity: the coexistence of species on changing landscapes. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

IUCN. 1993a. Oil and gas exploration and production in mangrove areas. IUCN, Gland.

————. 1993b. Directory of wetlands of international importance. IUCN, Gland.

KATZ, A. 1989. Coastal resource management in Belize: potentials and problems. Ambio 18: 139–141.

KELLER, B. D., AND J. B. C. JACKSON, EDITORS. 1993. Long-term assessment of the oil spill at Bahía Las Minas, Panamá: Synthesis report. U.S. Department of the Interior Minerals Management Service Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, New Orleans, Louisiana.

KLEKOWSKI, E. J., JR., J. E. CORREDOR, J. M. MORRELL, AND C. A. DEL CASTILLO. 1994a. Petroleum pollution and mutation in mangroves. Mar. Poll. Bull. 28: 166–169.

————, ————, R. LOWENFELD, E. H. KLEKOWSKI, AND J. M. MORELL. 1994b. Using mangroves to screen for mutagens in tropical marine environments. Mar. Poll. Bull. 28: 346–350.

KOLEHMAINEN, S., T. MORGAN, AND R. CASTRO. 1974. Mangrove-root communities in a thermally altered area in Guayanilla Bay, Puerto Rico. In J. W. Gibbons and R. R. Sharitz (Eds.). Thermal ecology, pp. 371–390. U.S.A.E.C. Oak Ridge.

LACERDA, L. D., EDITOR. 1993. Conservation and sustainable utilization of mangrove forests in Latin America and Africa regions. Part I—Latin America. International Society of Mangrove Ecosystems, Okinawa.

————, J. E. CONDE, P. R. BACON, C. ALARCÓN, L. D'CROZ, B. KJERFVE, J. POLANÍA, AND M. VANNUCCI. 1993. Mangrove ecosystems of Latin America and the Caribbean: a summary. In L. D. Lacerda (Ed.). Conservation and sustainable utilization of mangrove forests in Latin America and Africa regions. Part I—Latin America, pp. 1–42. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystems, Okinawa.

LEVINGS, S. C., AND S. D. GARRITY. 1994. Effects of oil spills on fringing red mangroves (Rhizophora mangle): losses of mobile species associated with submerged prop roots. Bull. Marine. Sci 54: 782–794.

————, ————, AND K. A. BURNS. 1994. The Galeta oil spill. III. Chronic reoiling, long-term toxicity of hydrocarbon residues and effects on epibiota in the mangrove fringe. Est., Coast. Shelf Sci. 38: 365–395.

LEWIS, R. R. 1983. Impact of oil spills on mangrove forests. In H. J. Teas (Ed.). Biology and ecology of mangroves, pp. 171–184. Dr. W. Junk, The Hague.

LIGHTY, R. G., I. G. MACINTYRE, AND R. STUCKENRATH. 1982. Acropora palmata reef framework: a reliable indicator of sea level in the western Atlantic for the past 10,000 years. Coral Reefs 1: 125–130.

LINDEN, O., AND A. JERNELOV. 1980. The mangrove swamp—an ecosystem in danger. Ambio 9: 81–88.

LOUIS, M., AND A. GUYARD. 1982. Contribution a l'étude des pueplements ichtyologiques dans les mangroves de Guadeloupe (Antilles Francaises). Bull. Ecol. 13: 9–24.

LUGO, A. E., AND B. BAYLE, EDITORS. 1992. Wetlands management in the Caribbean and the role of forestry and wetlands in the economy. Institute of Tropical Forestry, Río Piedras, Puerto Rico.

————, AND S. BROWN. 1988. The wetlands of Caribbean islands. Acta Científica 2: 48–61.

————, AND G. CINTRÓN. 1975. The mangrove forests of Puerto Rico and their management. In G. E. Walsh, S. C. Snedaker, and H. J. Teas (Eds.). Proceedings of international symposium on biology and management of mangroves, pp. 825–846. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Univ. of Florida, Gainesville.

————, AND S. C. SNEDAKER. 1974. The ecology of mangroves. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 5: 39–64.

LYNCH, J., J. MERIWETHER, B. McKEE, F. VERA-HERRERA, AND R. TWILLEY. 1989. Recent accretion in mangrove ecosystems based on ^{137}Cs and [210]Pb. Estuaries 12: 284–299.

MACINTOSH, D. J. 1982. Fisheries and aquaculture significance of mangrove swamps with special reference to the Indo-West Pacific region. In J. F. Muir and R. J. Roberts (Eds.). Recent advances in aquaculture, pp. 3–85. Croom Helm, London.

MARTOSUBROTO, P., AND N. NAAMIN. 1977. Relationship between tidal forest (mangrove) and commercial shrimp production in Indonesia. Mar. Res. Indonesia 18: 81–86.

MARX, J. M., AND W. F. HERRNKIND. 1986. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (South Florida)—spiny lobster. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Bio. Rep. 82(11.61). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR-EL-82–4.

MAUL, G. A., AND D. M. MARTIN. 1993. Sea level rise at Key West, Florida, 1846–1992: America's longest instrument record? Geophys. Res. Lett. 20: 1955–1958.

McKEE, K. L. 1995. Seedling recruitment patterns in a Belizean mangrove forest: effects of establishment ability and physico-chemical factors. Oecologia 101: 448–460.

MILLER, D. C. 1989. Dark eden: the swamp in nineteenth-century American culture. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

MONTGOMERY, J. R., AND M. T. PRICE. 1979. Release of trace metals in sewage sludge and the subsequent uptake by members of a turtle grass mangrove ecosystem. Env. Sci. Tech. 13: 546–549.

NAIDOO, G. 1985. Effects of waterlogging and salinity on plant-water relations and on the accumulation of solutes in three mangrove species. Aquat. Bot. 22: 133–143.

ODUM, W. E., AND R. E. JOHANNES. 1975. The response of mangroves to man-induced environmental stress. *In* E. J. F. Wood and R. E. Johannes (Eds.). Tropical marine pollution, pp. 52–62. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam.

OGDEN, J. C., AND E. H. GLADFELTER. 1983. Coral reefs, seagrass beds and mangroves: their interaction in the coastal zones of the Caribbean. UNESCO Reports in Marine Science 23.

ONG, J. E. 1993. Mangroves—a carbon source and sink. Chemosphere 27: 1097–1107.

————. 1995. The ecology of mangrove conservation and management. Hydrobiologia 295: 343–351.

PADRÓN, C. M., S. LLORENTE, AND L. MENENDEZ. 1993. Mangroves of Cuba. *In* L. D. Lacerda (Ed.). Conservation and sustainable utilization of mangrove forests in Latin America and Africa regions, pp. 147–154. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystems, Okinawa.

PARKINSON, R. W., R. D. DELAUNE, AND J. R. WHITE. 1994. Holocene sea-level rise and the fate of mangrove forests within the wider Caribbean region. J. Coastal Res. 10: 1077–1086.

PERNETTA, J. C. 1993. Mangrove forests, climate change and sea level rise: hydrological influences on community structure and survival, with examples from the Indo-West Pacific. IUCN, Gland.

PHILLIPS, P.C. 1981. Diversity and fish community structure in a Central American mangrove embayment. Rev. Biol. Trop. 29: 227–236.

PICKETT, S. T. A., AND P. S. WHITE, EDITORS. 1985. The ecology of natural disturbance and patch dynamics. Academic Press, New York.

PIRAZZOLI, P. A. 1986. Secular trends of relative sea-level (RSL) changes indicated by tide-gauge records. J. Coastal Res., Special Issue 1: 1–126.

PRESTON, E. M., AND B. L. BEDFORD. 1988. Evaluating cumulative effects on wetland functions: a conceptual overview and generic framework. Environ. Manage. 12: 565–583.

POLANÍA, J. 1993. Mangroves of Costa Rica. *In* L. D. Lacerda (Ed.). Conservation and sustainable utilization of mangrove forests in Latin America and Africa regions. Part I—Latin America, pp. 129–138. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystems, Okinawa.

————, AND V. MAINARDI. 1993. Mangrove forests of Nicaragua. *In* L. D. Lacerda (Ed.). Conservation and sustainable utilization of mangrove forests in Latin America and Africa regions. Part I—Latin America, pp. 139–146. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystems, Okinawa.

PRICE, A. R. G., A. P. HEINANEN, J. P. GIBSON, AND E. R. YOUNG. 1992. Guidelines for developing a coastal zone management plan for Belize. IUCN, Gland.

RABINOWITZ, D. 1985. *Pelliciera rhizophorae* Triana & Planchon. Unpublished: prepared for IUCN plant red data book.

REID, R. 1981. Environment and public health in the Caribbean. Ambio 10: 312–317.

RICHARDS, W. J., AND J. A. BOHNSACK. 1990. The Caribbean Sea: a large marine ecosystem in crisis. *In* K. Sherman, L. M. Alexander, and B. D. Gold (Eds.). Large marine ecosystems: patterns, processes, and yields, pp. 44–53. AAAS, Washington, DC.

RIVERA, S., T. LUGO, AND T. C. HAZEN. 1989. Autecology of *Vibrio vulnificus* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in tropical waters. Wat. Res. 23: 923–931.

RODRIGUEZ, A. 1981. Marine and coastal environmental stress in the wider Caribbean region. Ambio 10: 283–294.

ROLLET, B. 1981. Bibliography on mangrove research, 1600–1975. UNESCO, Paris.

ROTH, L. C. 1992. Hurricanes and mangrove regeneration: effects of Hurricane Joan, October 1988, on the vegetation of Isla del Venado, Bluefields, Nicaragua. Biotropica 24: 275–284.

————, AND A. GRIJALVA. 1991. New record of the mangrove *Pelliciera rhizophorae* (Theaceae) on the Caribbean coast of Nicaragua. Rhodora 93: 183–186.

RÜTZLER, K., AND W. STERRER. 1970. Oil pollution damage observed in tropical communities along the Atlantic seaboard of Panama. BioScience 20: 222–224.

SAENGER, P., E. HEGERL, AND J. DAVIE. 1983. Global status of mangrove ecosystems. The Environmentalist 3 (Suppl. 3): 1–88.

SAND, P. H., EDITOR. 1992. The Effectiveness of International Environemental Agreements: A Survey of Existing Legal Instruments. Grotius Publications Ltd., Cambridge.

SANOJA, M. 1989. Origins of cultivation around the Gulf of Paria, notheastern Venezuela. Nat. Geo. Res. 5: 446–458.

SANSÓN, G. G., AND R. L. RUEDA. 1982. Aporte de materia orgánica del manglar al ecosistema acuático de lagunas costeras en Cuba. Rev. Invest. Mar. 3: 3–32.

SCOTT, D. A., AND M. CARBONELL, EDITORS. 1986. A directory of Neotropical wetlands. IUCN, Cambridge.

SEBASTIANI, M., S. E. GONZÁLEZ, M. M. CASTILLO, P. ALVIZU, M. A. OLIVEIRA, J. PÉREZ, A. QUILICI, M. RADA, M. C. YÁBER, AND M. LENTINO. 1994. Large-scale shrimp farming in coastal wetlands of Venezuela, South America: causes and consequences of land-use conflicts. Environ. Manage. 18: 647–661.

SMITH, T. J., III, H. T. CHAN, C. C. McIVOR, AND M. B. ROBBLEE. 1989. Comparisons of seed predation in tropical tidal forests from three continents. Ecology 70: 146–151.

————, M. B. ROBBLEE, H. R. WANLESS, AND T. W. DOYLE. 1994. Mangroves, hurricanes, and lightning strikes. BioScience 44: 256–262.

SNEDAKER, S. C. 1995. Mangroves and climate change in the Florida and Caribbean region: Scenarios and hypotheses. Hydrobiologia 295: 43–49.

————, J. F. Meeder, M. S. Ross, and R. G. Ford. 1994. Mangrove ecosystem collapse during predicted sea-level rise—Holocene analogues and implications—discussion. J. Coastal Res.10: 497–498.

Stehlé, H. 1945. Forest types of the Caribbean islands, part I. Carib. For. 6, Suppl.

Stoner, A. W. 1988. A nursery ground for four tropical *Penaeus* species: Laguna Joyuda, Puerto Rico. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 42: 133–141.

Tattar, T. A., E. J. Klekowski, and A. I. Stern. 1994. Dieback and mortality in red mangrove, *Rhizophora mangle* L., in southwest Puerto Rico. Arbor. J. 18: 419–429.

Teas, H. J. 1976. Herbicide toxicity in mangroves. EPA 600/3-76-004, Envir. Res. Lab., Gulf Breeze.

Tomlinson, P. B. 1986. The botany of mangroves. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

Turner, R. E. 1977. Intertidal vegetation and commercial yields of penaied shrimp. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 106: 411–416.

Twilley, R. R., R. Chen, and T. Hargis. 1992. Carbon sinks in mangroves and their implication to carbon budget of tropical ecosystems. Water, Air, Soil Poll. 64: 265–288.

————, S. C. Snedakar, A. Yánez-Arancibia, and E. Medina. 1996. Biodiversity and ecosystem processes in tropical estuaries: perspectives of mangrove ecosystems. In H. A. Mooney, J. H. Cushman, E. Medina, O. E. Sala, E.-D. Schulze (Eds.). Functional roles of biodiversity: global perspectives, pp. 327–370. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

UNEP. 1994. Assessment and monitoring of climatic change impacts on mangrove ecosystems. UNEP Regional Seas Reports and Studies No. 154.

Wadsworth, F. H. 1959. Growth and regeneration of white mangrove in Puerto Rico. Carib. For. 20: 59–71.

Walsh, G. E., S. C. Snedaker, and H. J. Teas, Editors. 1975. Proceedings of the international symposium on the biology and management of mangroves. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Univ. of Florida, Gainesville.

Wigley, T. M. L., and S. C. B. Raper. 1992. Implications for climate and sea level of revised IPCC emissions scenarios. Nature 357: 293–300.

Wiley, J., W. Post, and A. Cruz. 1991. Conservation of the Yellow-shouldered Blackbird *Agelaius xanthomus*, an endangered West Indian Species. Biol. Conserv. 55: 119–138.

Wilson, A. M. 1987. Caribbean marine resources: a report on economic opportunities. Oceanus 30: 33–41.

Winograd, M. 1983. Observaciones sobre el hallazgo de *Pelliciera rhizophorae* (Theaceae) en el caribe columbiano. Biotropica 15: 297–298.

————. 1987. Mangroves de Colombia: particularites biologiques et economiques. Gaussenia 3: 11–43.

Wolanski, E. 1992. Hydrodynamics of tropical coastal marine systems. In D. Connell and D. Hawker (Eds.). Pollution in tropical aquatic systems, pp. 3–27. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton.

Woodroffe, C. D. 1982. Geomorphology and development of mangrove swamps, Grand Cayman Island, West Indies. Bull. Mar. Sci. 32: 381–398.