

Literature on Land Use Legacies in Vegetation

Broader Context – Why do we care about land use legacies and ecological history?

[Chazdon, R. L. 2008. Beyond Deforestation: Restoring Forests and Ecosystem Services on Degraded Lands. *Science* 320:1458–1460.](#)

Short perspective paper that looks at reforestation in a global context and discusses some of the complications in attempting to restore forest ecosystem structure, functions, and services in secondary forests. Particular focuses on how much assistance may be required for restoration depending on the system, context, and history.

[Foster, D., F. Swanson, J. Aber, I. Burke, N. Brokaw, D. Tilman, and A. Knapp. 2003. The Importance of Land-Use Legacies to Ecology and Conservation. *BioScience* 53:77.](#)

Makes the case that past human land-use leaves a surprisingly persistent mark on ecosystems that ecologists and conservationists cannot ignore. Draws on a wide range of examples from the LTER network and beyond, which collectively lay a foundation for trying to understand the likely long term effects of current and past land use in the future. One of the conceptual foundation papers of the land use legacy literature.

[Jackson, S. T., and R. J. Hobbs. 2009. Ecological Restoration in the Light of Ecological History. *Science* 325:567–569.](#)

Another perspective on restoration, this one emphasizing the importance of historical and paleo ecology in setting restoration targets. In spite of the fact that ecosystems are rarely stable (i.e. moving targets), ecological history is useful in answering questions about which historic ecosystems provide viable targets and which drivers of global-change require that alternative ecosystems be considered.

[Swetnam, T. W., C. D. Allen, and J. L. Betancourt. 1999. Applied Historical Ecology: Using the Past to Manage for the Future. *Ecological Applications* 9:1189–1206.](#)

A primer of historical ecology and its applications in management. Examples from the U.S. southwest, but within a broader conceptual context. States a primary aim of historical ecology as finding the ecological and evolutionary limits of communities and ecosystems that should guide and constrain management action.

Review, Synthesis, and Theory – What do we know about vegetation recovery from past land use?

[Bowen, M. E., C. A. McAlpine, A. P. N. House, and G. C. Smith. 2007. Regrowth forests on abandoned agricultural land: A review of their habitat values for recovering forest fauna. *Biological Conservation* 140:273–296.](#)

Forest recovery from a critter perspective. Global review that sums up findings on multi-scale structural and functional attributes of post-agricultural forests necessary for faunal recovery. Outlines research questions needing further attention.

[Cramer, V., R. Hobbs, and R. Standish. 2008. What's new about old fields? Land abandonment and ecosystem assembly. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 23:104–112.](#)

Lays out a conceptual framework for our understanding of post-agricultural succession, drawing on a wide range of literature. Discusses the role of abiotic and biotic stress, community assembly processes, and land use intensity in determining post-abandonment successional trajectories.

[Flinn, K. M., and M. Vellend. 2005. Recovery of forest plant communities in post-agricultural landscapes. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 3:243–250.](#)

Review of land-use legacies among herbaceous forest understory communities in Europe and North America. Emphasizes the role of population and community-level processes, species life-history traits, and dispersal versus recruitment limitation in recolonization. Interesting perspective from below the canopy.

[Hermy, M., and K. Verheyen. 2007. Legacies of the past in the present-day forest biodiversity: a review of past land-use effects on forest plant species composition and diversity. *Ecological Research* 22:361–371.](#)

Quirky paper, similar to Flinn and Vellend 2005, but with more of an emphasis on the mechanisms behind recolonization. Focuses on the traits of species associated with ancient (i.e. old-growth, primary) forests and on the question of recruitment versus dispersal limitation, concluding that spatial dispersal limitation is usually more limiting.

[Olden, J. D. 2006. Biotic homogenization: a new research agenda for conservation biogeography. *Journal of Biogeography* 33:2027–2039.](#)

A review of the current state of knowledge of biotic homogenization, its causes, and its importance for conservation. Discusses knowledge gaps requiring better understanding of mechanisms, consequences, environmental determinants, community properties, and spatial scale and extent. Conceptually oriented. See also [Olden & Rooney 2006 *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 15:113–120](#), for a more methodologically-oriented paper about quantifying biotic homogenization with further discussion of definitions and some good references.

[Vellend, M., K. Verheyen, K. M. Flinn, H. Jacquemyn, A. Kolb, H. Van Calster, G. Peterken, B. J. Graae, J. Bellemare, O. Honnay, J. Brunet, M. Wulf, F. Gerhardt, and M. Hermy. 2007. Homogenization of forest plant communities and weakening of species-environment relationships via agricultural land use. *Journal of Ecology* 95:565–573.](#)

Really neat meta-analysis of studies comparing ancient and modern forest beta diversity, finding modern forest understory communities to be more homogenous, with weaker species-environment relations than those in ancient forests. This study really sets a good standard for these sorts of questions, and has a nice, concise discussion and a number of potentially useful references.

Significant/Interesting Regional Studies

Tropical

[Chazdon, R. L. 2003. Tropical forest recovery: legacies of human impact and natural disturbances. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 6:51–71.](#)

Review of interactions between land use legacies and natural disturbances in tropical forests. The 'Legacies of human impact' section is particularly good and relevant.

[Colon, S. M., and A. E. Lugo. 2006. Recovery of a Subtropical Dry Forest After Abandonment of Different Land Uses. *Biotropica* 38:354–364.](#)

A landscape-scale comparison study of Puerto Rican forests with different land use histories. Found substantial recovery after 45 years in a number of attributes, but compositional differences persisted.

[Grau, H. R., T. M. Aide, J. K. Zimmerman, J. R. Thomlinson, E. Helmer, and X. Zou. 2003. The Ecological Consequences of Socioeconomic and Land-Use Changes in Postagriculture Puerto Rico. *BioScience* 53:1159.](#)

A Puerto Rican analogue to Foster et al.'s work in New England on land abandonment and subsequent forest recovery. Puts the Puerto Rican case study in a wider tropical forest context.

[Norden, N., R. L. Chazdon, A. Chao, Y.-H. Jiang, and B. Vélchez-Alvarado. 2009. Resilience of tropical rain forests: tree community reassembly in secondary forests. *Ecology Letters* 12:385–394.](#)

Study testing niche versus neutral theories of forest community assembly in post-agricultural succession in Costa Rica using long-term sapling and seedling data. Evidence favored the niche-based equilibrium model. Good integration of both theory and conservation implications.

European

[Baeten, L., M. Hermy, S. Van Daele, and K. Verheyen. 2010. Unexpected understorey community development after 30 years in ancient and post-agricultural forests. *Journal of Ecology* 98:1447–1453.](#)

Examines the independent effects of long term land-use history and recent chronic environmental change by resurveying ancient and post-agricultural forest understories in Belgium. Found that while all communities changed over the course of three decades, with reduced diversity and altered relative composition, land use history effects persisted and were stronger. Thus, the trajectory of post-agricultural community development does not appear to be converging with ancient forest composition. Interesting discussion of extinction debt and colonization credit and other concepts of post-agricultural community development.

[Dupouey, J. L., E. Dambrine, J. D. Laffite, and C. Moares. 2002. Irreversible impact of past land use on forest soils and biodiversity. *Ecology* 83:2978–2984.](#)

Finds differentiation in plant communities and soil properties based on intensity of Roman-era land use at a site in France, suggesting that land-use legacies may be irreversible on historical time scales. See also [Dambrine et al. 2007 *Ecology* 88:1430–1439](#) for a similar study finding Roman-era impacts on patterns of biodiversity at broader scales and [Plue et al. 2008 *Landscape Ecology* 23:673–688](#) for a study finding evidence of vegetation homogenization and soil alteration-induced seed bank effects at Roman occupied sites.

[Peterken, G. F., and M. Game. 1984. Historical factors affecting the number and distribution of vascular plant species in the woodlands of central Lincolnshire. *Journal of Ecology*:155–182.](#)

This is the classic, granddaddy paper looking at land use legacies in Europe by comparison of ancient and modern forests. A bit long-winded, it still has some interesting findings and insights relating to (re)colonization, island biogeography, fragmentation, community assembly, and dispersal versus recruitment limitation.

[Smart, S. M., K. Thompson, R. H. Marrs, M. G. Le Duc, L. C. Maskell, and L. G. Firbank. 2006. Biotic homogenization and changes in species diversity across human-modified ecosystems. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 273:2659–2665.](#)

A study using fine-grained, broad scale vegetation survey data collected during a period of land use change in Britain to test assumptions about biotic homogenization. Found a positive association between α diversity, habitat similarity, and trait variance, suggesting the ascendance of successful traits among a small number of community-specific specialists. Interesting application and discussion of biotic homogenization concepts, with a good dose of theory.

*Eastern North American
(additional papers worth consideration)*

[Flinn, K. M., M. Vellend, and P. L. Marks. 2005. Environmental causes and consequences of forest clearance and agricultural abandonment in central New York, USA. *Journal of Biogeography* 32:439–452.](#)

Study on the feedbacks between past land use and the physical environment, asking whether differences in soil and topography between farmed and unfarmed forest patches reflect land use preferences or land use effects. Land use decisions do appear to be influenced by physical factors, yet primary and secondary forests had substantial overlap in soil properties, suggesting that patterns of plant distribution in forests of varying history are more strongly influenced by dispersal processes than environmental alteration.

[Fuller, J. L., D. R. Foster, Jason S. McLachlan, and N. Drake. 1998. Impact of Human Activity on Regional Forest Composition and Dynamics in Central New England. *Ecosystems* 1:76–95.](#)

This study is already cited in our paper but deserves more attention, as it provides some really important context for what we look at. Namely, that forests in central New England were changing and homogenizing prior to European settlement in response to climate, natives, and other disturbances. Insightful discussion and a lot of good references to the wider literature on North American vegetation change.

[Larsen, C. P. S., B. J. Kronenfeld, and Y.-C. Wang. 2012. Forest Composition: More Altered by Future Climate Change than by Euro-American Settlement in Western New York and Pennsylvania? *Physical Geography* 33:3–20.](#)

New paper from Wang and company comparing the magnitude of forest change from past land use to that caused by modeled future climate change in areas of NY and PA. Suggests that a doubling of CO₂ will cause less change, but with 3.5x CO₂, compositional change will be greater than that caused by Euro-American land use legacies.

[Rhemtulla, J. M., D. J. Mladenoff, and M. K. Clayton. 2009. Legacies of historical land use on regional forest composition and structure in Wisconsin, USA \(mid-1800s-1930s-2000s\). *Ecological Applications* 19:1061–1078.](#)

Assesses the trajectory of deforestation and forest recovery in WI. Suggests that forest recovery in the north may stall due to certain taxa lagging in their recovery. In the south it is the absence of the historical disturbance regime (fire) that has stalled recovery. Also finds evidence of homogenization, particularly in central WI, which is biophysically more like the north, but has land use history more similar to the south. See also [Schulte et al. 2007 *Landscape Ecology* 22:1089–1103](#), which we already cite, but is probably the closest mid-west analogue to our study (i.e. region-scale) so is probably worth another look. Both have good, integrative discussions.

[Rooney, T. P., S. M. Wiegman, D. A. Rogers, and D. M. Waller. 2004. Biotic Impoverishment and Homogenization in Unfragmented Forest Understory Communities. Conservation Biology 18:787–798.](#)

Cited already for methodology, but not content. Fifty-year resurvey of intact forest understories under different management/protection in northern WI, looking at community change among different functional groups. While regional diversity was maintained, site-level diversity decreased due to the replacement of native specialists with generalists and exotics, also leading to homogenization. Deer pressure is a likely cause. Discusses conservation implications.