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Using codispersion analysis to quantify temporal changes in the spatial pattern of forest stand structure
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Abstract

Forest development involves a complex set of ecological processes, such as dispersal and competition for light, which can generate a range of spatial patterns in forest structure that change through time. One interesting avenue of research in ecology is exploring whether spatial statistical methods can be brought to bear on such spatial patterns of forest structure to gain insight into the possible ecological processes that created them. In this study we applied a relatively new method to ecology, codispersion analysis, to investigate spatial covariance between two common measures of forest structure: tree abundance and mean basal area. We used data for four focal tree species from both a simulated and a real forest sampled at multiple time points. We assessed the significance of observed codispersion patterns using null models, in which tree diameters were iteratively and randomly reassigned to trees whose locations were kept constant. The results suggest that codispersion analysis could detect a range of spatial patterns in forest stand structure that were indicative of changing ecological processes.
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1. Introduction

Forest development through time ("succession") is the result of a complex interplay of factors and processes (Oliver and Larson, 1996). Following a volcanic eruption, retreating glacier, logging operation, major insect outbreak, or hurricane in the forest, an initial phase of establishment of young trees at relatively high abundances ensues. The identity and order of species establishment in these areas is in large part determined by the interaction between the local environment, the mix of seeds dispersing into the opening, and intrinsic characteristics of different tree species, such as their tolerance to the amount of available light. For example, shade-intolerant species usually establish first and, once established, competition among individuals for light typically results in a "self-thinning" effect (Yoda
et al., 1963), whereby dominant individuals outcompete smaller, nearby conspecifics, causing a reduction in the numbers of trees over time and an increase in size of surviving trees. As these stands mature, further competition among individuals of different species largely guides the trajectory of succession: shade-intolerant species typically are replaced by more shade-tolerant species. Overlain on these processes is the impact of stochastic events (e.g., insects, wind, fire), which can have profound effects on natural patterns of stand development by causing localized areas of tree mortality that interrupt and reset the otherwise directional succession (Uriarte et al., 2009). Thus, ecologists use quantitative methods to identify direct and interactive effects of successional processes and a range of disturbances that lead to observed forest structure.

One approach involves the use of spatial statistics to analyse spatial patterns of trees (Fajardo and McIntire, 2007; Král et al., 2014). This approach recognizes that observed spatial patterns may be non-random, and analysis of the pattern together with associated characteristics (e.g., sizes, abundances) can reveal signatures of past ecological processes and disturbances that have shaped a given forest (Getzin et al., 2006). For example, spatial clustering of trees in a forest can arise from competitive effects, local dispersal processes, or both (Lara-Romero et al., 2015). However, spatial analyses of forest stands routinely have no temporal depth. One motivation for the work we describe here is to explore whether applying spatial methods to forest data collected through time could provide additional insights into forest dynamics (e.g., Detto and Muller-Landau, 2016; Janík et al., 2016).

Figure 1. Conceptual relationships between tree abundance and mean basal area (m²) within forests. Spatial patterns between these two variables could manifest as local areas in a forest plot where there are: A. no trees (or very few small ones); B. very few, large, dominant individuals; C. thickets of small, young trees; D. a mixture of clusters of small and large trees. The spatial arrangement of these local scenarios of tree numbers and sizes in a forest can result in relatively weak (lines 1 and 2), relatively strong (line 3), or negative abundance-basal area relationships.

In this study, we examine temporal changes in the spatial “abundance-basal area” relationship for four species in two forest areas. The abundance-basal area relationship is a useful descriptor of forest structure at any given point in time, and may take different forms (Figure 1) depending on the total number of trees in the plot, their spatial pattern, and the spatial distribution of tree sizes. For example, a weak relationship may exist if the majority of the area of a sampled plot contains few-to-no trees of a species but does have either a few large, dominant individuals or abundant clumps of small-diameter trees scattered
throughout the plot (lines 1 and 2 in Figure 1). The relationship also may be relatively strong and positive when there is a mix of abundances and sizes distributed throughout the forest (line 3 in Figure 1). In principle, the relationship also could be negative (line 4 in Figure 1) if there is a strong spatial separation of a few, large trees and many juvenile trees of a species interspersed throughout at forest. Spatio-temporal changes in the strength or nature of the abundance-basal area relationship for a given species thus could suggest the occurrence of particular successional processes. For example, we might expect that self-thinning of a relatively homogeneous stand of juvenile trees would cause the spatial relationship between basal area and abundance to weaken as abundance decreases while the basal area of the remaining few dominant individuals increases.

We use codispersion analysis (e.g., Cuevas et al., 2013; Buckley et al., 2016a,b,c; Wang et al., 2016) to quantify temporal changes in the spatial relationships between abundance and basal area in two forest plots: one in which we simulated 200 years of forest succession following the complete mortality of a dominant species resulting from a non-native insect; and the other a real forest stand that has undergone more typical forest succession following clearcut logging in the late 1890s and damage to part of the stand in 1938. Codispersion analysis quantifies the strength, scale, and directionality (anisotropy) in the relationship between two variables that have been measured spatially either at point locations or within grid cells (Buckley et al., 2016a). We explore whether the spatial covariation in patterns of tree abundances and basal areas, examined at a number of points in time, can provide insights into successional trajectories and processes occurring in simulated and real forests. Finally, we use spatial null models (Gotelli and Graves, 1996) to test for the significance of the results and to help differentiate among possible ecological processes that underlie the observed spatial patterns (Wiegand and Moloney, 2014).

2. Methods

2.1 A simulated forest

We used a spatially-explicit forest dynamics model, SORTIE (Pacala et al., 1993) to generate a 200-year forecast of potential spatial changes in forest structure and composition for the 35-ha Harvard Forest long-term forest dynamics plot (Orwig et al., 2015, hereafter “the Harvard Forest plot”), under a scenario of complete mortality of a dominant tree species, eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis). For the species in this forest, two hundred years is an adequate timeframe over which to expect significant spatio-temporal change as a result of successional processes after a large disturbance. Hemlock is a foundation species in many forests of eastern North America and is currently undergoing widespread decline and mortality due to the impact of the hemlock woolly adelgid (Adelges tsugae), an insect introduced from Asia that causes mortality of hemlock trees over a few to ten or more years (Ellison et al., 2005). The SORTIE model simulates the fates of individual trees based on parameterized equations that define seed dispersal, growth, and mortality of individual tree species, intra- and interspecific competitive interactions, and species-specific responses to various disturbances (Canham et al., 2006). We initialized our simulation using data from the Harvard Forest plot, comprising Cartesian coordinate locations, species identities, and diameters for all trees greater than 1 cm in diameter measured 1.3 m above the ground (DBH: “diameter at breast height”). Starting from the 2014 measurement (Time = 0), we simulated annually for 200 years the fates of four species: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus henceforth “PIST”); red maple (Acer rubrum “ACRU”); black birch (Betula lenta “BELE”); and red oak (Quercus rubra “QURU”). To simulate the impact of the hemlock woolly adelgid, we implemented an “episodic disturbance” in SORTIE that caused the removal of increasing proportions of hemlock in 10-year increments, between time steps 10
and 50, after which time no hemlock trees remained. From this simulation, we extracted for each of the four species their spatial locations and sizes (diameters), at each of six time steps (times $t = 0, 30, 60, 90, 150$, and 200 years). Since our initial forest conditions were fixed, stochasticity in our simulation derived from the fact that parameters for a number of the model processes (e.g., dispersal, mortality, growth) are sampled from probability distributions, leading to modest variations in outcomes of each new simulation. Here, the outcome of one representative simulation is used for subsequent codispersion analysis.

2.2 The Lyford

Our second dataset comprised forest data from the Lyford plot at the Harvard Forest (Foster et al., 1999), a 2.9-ha plot situated in a maturing oak-dominated forest. Approximately 10% of this forested plot was severely disturbed by a major hurricane in 1938, after which the trees within the plot were measured and mapped on five occasions (1969, 1975, 1991, 2001, 2011). Since 1938, the Lyford plot has been undergoing typical forest succession and biomass recovery in the disturbed areas (Eisen and Barker Plotkin, 2015). We extracted spatial location and DBH data for the five measurement times and for the same four tree species as were simulated with SORTIE for the Harvard Forest plot.

2.3 Codispersion Analysis

We applied codispersion analyses to the rasterized observed basal area and abundance for the four focal species, for each of the six simulated time steps for the Harvard Forest plot.
dataset and the five time points at the Lyford Grid plot for which data were collected in the field.

Codispersion analysis (Cuevas et al., 2013) quantifies the spatial covariation between two spatial datasets that can be in the form of point-pattern data, irregularly-spaced plot data, or data on a regular raster grid. For each of the four species, we used rasterized datasets of mean basal area (calculated from the DBH measurements of individual trees) and of tree abundance (total number of tree stems) computed within 20×20-m grid cells for the Harvard Forest plot and 5×5-m grid cells for the smaller Lyford plot.

In brief, codispersion analysis involves the application of an Epanechnikov kernel function (Cuevas et al., 2013) across all possible cell-to-cell distances for a set of spatial lags \( h = \{h_1, h_2\} \) for each of input datasets \( A \) and \( B \). The spatial lags comprise two vectors of distances analysed by the kernel function: one vector of lags is oriented parallel to the \( x \)-axis of the raster, in both positive and negative lag directions, and the other vector of lags is oriented parallel to the \( y \)-axis of the raster, in the positive direction (Figure 2a). Typically, \( h < 0.25 \times \) the smallest plot dimension (Buckley et al., 2016b); setting \( h \) to this value reduces spurious statistical results arising from plot edge effects (Wiegand and Moloney, 2004). A set of kernel bandwidth parameters, \( k = \{k_A, k_B, k_{AB}\} \) controls the smoothness of kernel surface generated for each input dataset and their intersection. For the rasterized dataset that we used, the distances between cells were computed from their center points.

Next, semi-variograms for \( A \) and \( B \) (\( \gamma_A, \gamma_B \)) and the semi-cross-variogram of the intersection of \( A \) and \( B \) (\( \gamma_{AB} \)), are computed for the kernel-smoothed surfaces using a Nadaraya-Watson type estimator:

\[
\hat{\gamma}_{AB}(h) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{h - (s_i - s_j)}{k}\right)(A(s_i) - A(s_j))(B(s_i) - B(s_j))}{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{h - (s_i - s_j)}{k}\right)}.
\]

(1)

Where \( s \) is the set of spatial locations and \( K(\cdot) \) is a symmetric and strictly positive kernel function with bandwidth parameters \( k \) (García-Soidán, 2007; Cuevas et al., 2013).

Finally, the empirical codispersion coefficient (Matheron, 1965) is computed for each lag pair \( h \) as:

\[
\hat{\rho}_{AB}(h) = \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{AB}(h)}{\sqrt{\hat{\gamma}_A(h)\hat{\gamma}_B(h)}}.
\]

(2)

where \( \hat{\gamma}_{AB} \) is the semi-cross-variogram, \( \hat{\gamma}_A \) and \( \hat{\gamma}_B \) are the semi-variograms of the two variables (Vallejos et al., 2015).

Results are presented as an omni-directional “codispersion graph” (Figure 2b), where codispersion variables are plotted for each combination of lags \( (h_1, h_2) \) in two dimensions (Cuevas et al., 2013). The magnitudes of the codispersion values across the graph indicate the strength of the spatial correlation between the two datasets, and range from \(-1.0\) (strong negative codispersion) to \(+1.0\) (strong positive codispersion). How these values change across the graph is indicative of the lag distances at which the two variables are more- or less-correlated and of possible anisotropy in the spatial association in the two variables.
2.4 SIGNIFICANCE TESTING USING NULL MODELS

For both datasets, we compared the observed codispersion values to those generated under a “random labelling” null model (Buckley et al., 2016c). For each of 199 iterations of the null model, the locations of all trees in the observed data were fixed, but their diameter values were resampled randomly and without replacement, then reassigned to each tree. For each iteration, the basal areas within each grid cell in each of the rasters were then recalculated and the codispersion between abundance and basal area was re-computed. Thus, this null model kept the spatial pattern of tree locations (and their abundances) fixed, but broke the relationship between the number of trees and their sizes at the grid cell scale. We use this null model test to determine whether the spatial distribution of tree sizes is non-random, such as would occur if small trees formed clumps or thickets of recruitment and/or where large trees are over-dispersed (less likely to occur near one another).

Figure 3. Scatterplots of abundance (number of individuals) and total basal area ($m^2$) of white pine (PIST), red maple (ACRU), black birch (BELE) and red oak (QURU) within 20 $\times$ 20m grid cells in the Harvard Forest 35-ha forest dynamics plot (500 $\times$ 700m) at six time steps (0 to 200 years). Time = 0 represents the observed patterns at the 2014 plot measurement.

Figure 4. Total basal area ($m^2$) of white pine (PIST), red maple (ACRU), black birch (BELE) and red oak (QURU) within 20 $\times$ 20m grid cells in the Harvard Forest 35-ha forest dynamics plot (500 $\times$ 700m) at six time steps (0 to 200 years). Time = 0 represents the observed patterns at the 2014 plot measurement.
Figure 5. Observed codispersion of the basal area ($m^2$) and abundance of white pine (PIST), red maple (ACRU), black birch (BELE) and red oak (QURU) in 20 × 20m grid cells in the Harvard Forest 35-ha forest dynamics plot (500 × 700m) at six time steps (0 to 200 years). Contour intervals = 0.1 codispersion units.

Figure 6. Observed minus expected codispersion values for white pine (PIST), red maple (ACRU), black birch (BELE) and red oak (QURU) under the random labelling model (RLM) for the Harvard Forest 35-ha forest dynamics plot (500 × 700m) at six time steps (0 to 200 years). The RLM keeps species distributions the same, but assigns their diameters randomly.

3. Results

3.1 The Simulated Forest

The incremental and eventual complete removal of eastern hemlock within the Harvard Forest plot simulation caused the formation of small-to-large canopy gaps in the forest, inducing a process of recruitment and establishment into these gaps by the four focal species. Relative to their prior abundances and distributions, all species increased in abundance and basal area across the plot over the first 60 years (Figures 3 and 4). Black birch (BELE), a relatively shade intolerant species, established most quickly in the largest gaps and formed dense thickets of trees, resulting in an increase in the strength of codispersion between its abundance and basal area during these first 60 years. Subsequently, self-thinning caused a widespread decrease in BELE abundance and abundance-basal area codispersion (Figure 5: BELE). This dieback effect enabled young PIST and ACRU individuals to establish, outcompete BELE, and emerge into canopy gaps in some grid cells. Mature, canopy-dominant individuals of these species, scattered throughout the plot, also increased in basal area, likely due to the opening-up of adjacent canopies after hemlock removal. This led to grid cells with high numbers of small trees near cells with fewer, larger-diameter trees and consequently, a negative abundance-basal area codispersion at small spatial lags at $t = 150$ years for both of these species (Figure 5: PIST and ACRU). At the last time step, codispersion between abundance and basal area for PIST also was anisotropic across
the plot, as indicated by a change from negative to positive codispersion in a northwest direction (Figure 5: PIST, \( t = 200 \)). Only minor changes in abundance or spatial pattern of stand structure occurred over the 200 years for red oak due to its long life-span and low establishment rates (Figure 5: QURU). Observed codispersion values for all species were smaller than expected under the random labelling null model (Figures 6 and 7). The one exception was for BELE at time 90 (Figures 6 and 7: BELE, \( t = 90 \)), where observed and null-model codispersion values were not significantly different from random expectation at most spatial lags.

Figure 7. Null model results for the random labelling model where species distributions were kept the same, but their diameters were randomly reassigned 199 times and the codispersion between abundance and basal area 20 × 20 m grid cells was recalculated for white pine (PIST), red maple (ACRU), black birch (BELE) and red oak (QURU) in the Harvard Forest 35-ha forest dynamics plot (500 × 700 m) at six time steps (0 to 200 years).

Figure 8. Scatterplots of abundance (number of individuals) and total basal area (m²) of white pine (PIST), red maple (ACRU), black birch (BELE) and red oak (QURU) in 55 m grid cells in the Lyford plot at five time steps between 1969 and 2011.

3.2 THE LYFORD PLOT

Structural changes over 42 years were subtle for the four focal species in the maturing Lyford plot (Figures 8 and 9). Codispersion between abundance and basal area was positive for all species, albeit higher for BELE and QURU than for PIST and ACRU (Figure 10). For ACRU, BELE, and QURU, codispersion generally became more strongly positive through time. Null model analyses indicated that the overall increase in basal area for these species through time, concomitant with a decrease in overall numbers, induced a loss of significance in the codispersion relationship (Figures 11 and 12). White pine (PIST) was
not distributed widely across the plot and, over the five sample times, decreased slightly in abundance overall but increased in basal area in those grid cells where it dominated (Figures 8 and 9). There was not a clear change in observed codispersion between abundance and basal area for PIST through time, but null modelling indicated that the relationship became significantly weaker than expected at time 1991 (Figures 11 and 12); it was largely non-significant at all but the largest lags for all other times (Figure 12). There was no indication of anisotropy in codispersion relationships for any of the four species or sample times in the Lyford Plot.

Figure 9. Total basal area ($m^2$) of white pine (PIST), red maple (ACRU), black birch (BELE) and red oak (QURU) in 5 × 5m grid cells in the Lyford plot (note that we clipped the plot so that it was a rectangle of 125 × 190m) at five time steps between 1969 and 2011.

Figure 10. Observed codispersion of the basal area ($m^2$) and abundance of white pine (PIST), red maple (ACRU), black birch (BELE) and red oak (QURU) in 5 × 5m grid cells in the Lyford plot at five time steps between 1969 and 2011. Contour intervals= 0.05 codispersion units.
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4. Discussion

Our two contrasting examples of forest dynamics, one of stand-level (20-m resolution) structural changes after widespread disturbance, and the other of local-scale (5-m resolution) within-stand changes in an aging, relatively mature forest, suggest that codispersion analyses of species-specific, abundance-basal area relationships can illustrate a range of spatial patterns in forest stand structure and succession.

Using simulated data based on a large forest plot in which the dominant tree is rapidly declining, codispersion analysis coupled to null models clearly detected establishment and subsequent self-thinning of black birch (BELE) thickets in the relatively large gaps that were created by the loss of hemlock. The abundance-basal area relationship strengthened for BELE for the first 90 years. As the number of thickets of saplings increased, the distribution of diameters became more homogeneous across grid cells, forming a relationship resembling line 3 in Figure 1, resulting in temporally strengthening codispersion. However, this also caused codispersion to resemble that expected under a random labelling null model after time \( t = 90 \) years. Thereafter, increasing mortality of BELE individuals due to self-thinning and interspecific competition for light and space led to a more variable distribution of the locations and sizes of this species across the plot, and a subsequent weakening in codispersion between abundance and basal area. In contrast, codispersion results for white pine (PIST) and red maple (ACRU) suggested a sequence of an increasing weakening of covariation between abundance and basal area through time, and an eventual switch to a negative codispersion relationship at small spatial lags (e.g., line 4, Figure 1). This latter pattern reflects a situation in which some grid cells contain a few, large-diameter PIST and ACRU trees that have gained dominance (e.g., position B, Figure 1), whereas others contain abundant, small new recruits that are taking advantage of gaps formed by the decline of black birch (e.g., position C, Figure 1).

Figure 11. Observed minus expected codispersion values under the random labelling model for white pine (PIST), red maple (ACRU), black birch (BELE) and red oak (QURU) in 5 × 5m grid cells in the Lyford plot at five time steps between 1969 and 2011. The RLM keeps species’ distributions the same, but assigns their diameters randomly 199 times.

Codispersion in the Lyford plot reflected the subtle effects of gradual succession sampled over a relatively short period of time. Abundance and basal area of each of the four species were positively codispersed at all spatial scales and all time points, but codispersion declined as the forest aged, likely reflecting the increasing structural importance of larger trees through time. Although the codispersion graphs showed little spatial variation within the plot for any of the species, the method was able to detect temporal changes in stand structure that were identified in the field. For example, as reported by Eisen and Barker Plotkin (2015), mature red oak (QURU) individuals increased in basal area over time while
Figure 12. Null model results for four species under the random labelling model where species’ distributions were kept the same, but their diameters were randomly reassigned 199 times and the codispersion between abundance and basal area in 5 × 5m grid cells was recalculated for white pine (PIST), red maple (ACRU), black birch (BELE) and red oak (QURU) in the Lyford plot at five time steps between 1969 and 2011.

concomitantly decreasing in abundance. This process resulted in a mixture of many grid cells with no QURU trees and a few grid cells with relatively high QURU basal area, the combination of which was reflected in increasingly positive codispersion relationship at all spatial lags (e.g., line 3, Figure 1). In contrast, codispersion of basal area and diameter of PIST weakened through time as its abundance decreased overall but a few isolated mature trees increased in their sizes (e.g., line 1, Figure 1).

Arising from this study are a number of research areas that require further exploration and testing. Firstly, it is of interest to explore methods that incorporate temporal change more formally into codispersion analysis. This would first involve computing differences between time points within datasets, followed by the use of codispersion to analyze relationships between the temporal differences. This approach could provide a means to determine whether rates of forest change are more or less rapid in particular areas of a plot, and the spatial extent at which this might occur (Detto and Muller-Landau, 2016). Such spatiotemporal pattern analysis may be especially beneficial for examining dynamics in stand structure across ecological gradients or boundaries where we might expect different responses of vegetation (Buckley et al., 2016b). A complementary line of inquiry would be to test the behaviour of codispersion analysis against idealized patterns that have been generated using processes with well-defined spatio-temporal covariance structures (e.g., Gneiting, 2002; Ma, 2008; Daley et al., 2015). Second, further work is needed to estimate uncertainty in the simulation models used to forecast spatio-temporal ecological patterns, as well as the uncertainty inherent to the codispersion coefficient, and how these propagate through into the codispersion analysis results. The former source of uncertainty deals with the degree to which stochasticity is incorporated into the process parameters of forecasting models (Clark et al., 2001), such as SORTIE, and will require further sensitivity analysis and testing against observed data where possible. Quantifying uncertainty around variogram estimators that underpin the codispersion coefficient is non-trivial and is an area of active research (Cressie and Wikle, 2011). Finally, further attention needs to be given to deriving and applying different types of null models used for significance testing. The random-labelling null model we used here changes only one aspect of stand structure, the sizes of trees, but the spatial position and numbers of trees may also usefully be varied, depending on the processes of interest (Buckley et al., 2016c). On the whole, results from this study suggest that codispersion analysis was able to detect differences in forest stand structural patterns that are indicative of processes of successional change.
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