

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: Clarisse Hart, Harvard Forest Outreach Manager  
978-756-6157; hart3@fas.harvard.edu

#### **400-YEAR STUDY FINDS NORTHEAST FORESTS RESILIENT, CHANGING**

A joint Harvard-Smithsonian study released today in the journal *PLOS ONE* reveals how much—and how little—Northeastern forests have changed after centuries of intensive land use.

A hike through today's woods will reveal the same types of trees that a colonial settler would have encountered 400 years ago. But the similarities end there. Jonathan Thompson, research associate at the Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute and lead author of the new study, explains, "If you only looked at a tree species list, you'd have the impression that Northeast forests haven't changed. But once you start mapping the trees, and counting them up, a different picture emerges." Thompson adds, "In some ways the forest is completely transformed."

To draw these conclusions, Thompson and his colleagues compared colonial-era tree records to modern US Forest Service data across a 9-state area stretching from Pennsylvania to Maine.

Their results show stark contrasts between pre-colonial forests and today. Maples have exploded across the Northeast, their numbers increasing by more than 20 percent in most towns. Other tree types have declined sharply. Beeches, oaks, and chestnuts show the most pronounced loss—big trouble, Thompson notes, for wildlife that depend on tree nuts for winter survival.

Pine numbers have shifted more than any other tree type, increasing in some places, decreasing elsewhere. Thompson pins this variability to ecology and economy: "Pine is valuable for timber, but quick to return after cutting. It has a social and environmental dynamism to it."

The nine states in the study share a similar—and notable—forest history: during the 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> centuries, more than half the forestland was cleared for agriculture and cut for timber. Most farms were eventually abandoned, and during the 20<sup>th</sup> century, forests returned. Today about 80 percent of the Northeast is forested.

Driving the study is a historical data source that has never been analyzed at such a massive scale: the maps and notes of the earliest colonial surveyors. These scribbles and dots on old records document individual "witness trees"—trees mapped between 1650 and 1850 to designate property boundaries when towns were first established.

Charlie Cogbill, an ecologist on the research team, spent three decades compiling these early records from the public archives of nearly 1,300 towns. The massive geo-database he built pinpoints the location of more than 350,000 witness trees, forming the basis of this study.

David Foster, co-author of the study and director of the Harvard Forest in Massachusetts, explains, “It is critical to understand the nature and scale of forest change triggered by human impacts. Charlie’s effort to amass the witness trees provides a serendipitous window into the region’s earliest forests, enabling us to assess the cumulative effect of centuries of land use and climate change.”

Even 200 years later, the legacy of colonial farming remains the most powerful factor in determining modern forest composition—more powerful than regional climate, soil conditions, and many other factors. “To get a sense of how much a forest has changed, the first question to ask is how extensively the area was farmed over the past two centuries,” says Dunbar Carpenter, co-author of the study and research assistant at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. If more than half the town was farmed, he adds, local forests have probably diverged a good deal from their pre-colonial condition.

Across the board, reports the study, these changes have made modern forests more homogenous and less responsive to small changes in temperature and precipitation. Despite forest clearing, widespread logging, fires, climate change, invasive pests, and disease, the Northeast remains the most heavily forested region of the country.

“The overriding theme of this forest region is resilience in the face of multiple impacts,” notes Foster. “This is an important lesson for the future. If we do not replace forests with houses and pavement, they will endure future challenges as well.”

Thompson echoes this theme of resilience. “The Northeast *wants* to be a forest,” he says. “If you stop mowing your lawn, you’ll get a forest. That’s essentially what we did in the mid-1800s—we stopped mowing fifty percent of the landscape. What happens ecologically in the wake of that? The Northeast is the first place where we’ve watched it happen.”

And the story is still unfolding.

###

The Harvard Forest, founded in 1907 and located in Petersham, Mass., is Harvard University’s outdoor laboratory and classroom for ecology and conservation, and a Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) site funded by the National Science Foundation. Its 3,600 acre property is one of the oldest and most intensively studied research forests in the U.S. Open to the public year-round, the site includes educational and research facilities, a museum, and recreational trails. More information can be found at <http://harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu/>.

The full paper in the journal *PLOS ONE*, “Four centuries of change in northeastern United States forests”, is available at <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072540>. Photos are available at <http://harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu/press-resources-witness-tree-story-94>.

For an interview with one or more of the scientists, contact Clarisse Hart, Harvard Forest Outreach Manager ([hart3@fas.harvard.edu](mailto:hart3@fas.harvard.edu); 978-756-6157).