RESEARCH IN THE BIOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF FOREST PRODUCTION

bу

Earl P. Stephens
Harvard Forest

Mr. Chairman, Gentlemen: One of the greatest deficiencies in the production aspect of forestry today is our general lack of ability to predict the species, form, and volume of the trees that will be growing on a particular area at a given time. This deficiency stems from the fact that we as foresters are working with a wild crop, and we simply do not have the degree of control over our forests which permits accurate prediction. Modern industry, the bond between forest potential and society, requires realistic predictions in order to function properly. Ultimately, sound decisions as to production policy must rest upon a firm foundation of knowledge; a knowledge which inevitably will be basically biological due to the inherent characteristics of the forests themselves. Whether we like to admit it or not, forest production is a highly speculative proposition. The degree of speculation in the future will be related to the demands that society places upon the forest potential and the rate at which silvical knowledge is accumulated.

Many problems of forest production have become apparent, although a great number remain to be generally recognized before they can be delineated and rationally approached. Our difficulties seem to originate from two major sources. When one views the first source with an extremely broad perspective, it emerges as the myriad of imponderables involved in the forester's attempt to evaluate the sum total of the reactions between the genotypes of the forest trees and their environments. This, in my mind, is what the forester is trying to do when he predicts the site quality of a wooded area. The second source of difficulties is as specific as the first is general. It involves the factor of time, an element which can be measured precisely and the requirement of which is considerable for the life cycles of most of our native tree species. The influence of time in the biological research of forest production has no parallel in any comparable area of investigation.

Research in the biological aspects of forest production can follow several methods of approach. The one which will ultimately provide the major framework for scientific silviculture is often referred to as fundamental experimental research. Here, elaborate equipment and intricate techniques are employed to break down the physiological processes of plants into their physico-chemical reactions, isolate them, and measure their variations under controlled conditions. Control is essential. Here lies a whole area of research responsibilities, a part of which could be shouldered by the "forest physiologist," if for no other reason than to assist in the recognition and description of the basic problems.

Another mode of research is as old as man and is the trial-and-error or empirical method. Plantations and sample plots of differently treated areas generally fall within this realm of research. When one considers the complexity of the problems involved, it would appear that a multitude of these projects will produce nothing more than a crop of trees, and many of these at great expense.

The trial-and-error approach is very apt to defy accurate description, interpretation, and duplication.

The third avenue to the biological problems of silviculture can be referred to as the historical method. This mode of investigation also has been employed for a long time. In this approach, the plants are considered as integrators of the total environment. Investigators attempt to reconstruct and interpret the expressions or trends in the development of the vegetation in the light of what has gone on before. With an historical background in hand, they can be more intelligent about what to expect in the future. The reasoning is primarily inductive.

Climate has often been defined as the succession of weather. A forest might be referred to as the product of a series of "Nature's experiments." In this respect, a forest might also be compared with a technical library. The experiments are not cataloged, but they are there just the same, and need only to be recognized, interpreted, and evaluated.

One should be very conservative in appraising this means of deriving information, however. The method is chiefly one of fundamental description. No basic quantitative data regarding physiological processes or facets of the environment will result. The whys and wherefores of plant distribution will not be solved. However, definite facts of presence and absence of tree species will be exposed. Major fluctuations in the environment and the gross expressions of the tree vegetation to related changes can be observed. The time factor, always so influential, can be telescoped by meticulous application of simple techniques and judicious observation. The results of many years of "Nature's experiments" can be scrutinized within a comparatively short time. New problem areas can be exposed and subjected to more elaborate experimentation.

The experimental, trial-and-error, and historical approaches to the biological aspects of silviculture research cannot stand alone. All three, particularly the first, must of necessity take into consideration the principles of genetics. Eventually, all silvical knowledge must have genotypic qualification. Genetics can provide an impetus to forest production. The recognition, selection, and creation of particular genotypes will not only make it possible to grow better trees faster, but also assist in the analysis of the environmental complex.

Silvics, commonly defined as the biological foundation of silviculture, is It is destined to become a science of in its embryonic stage of development. synthesis, dependent upon the contributions of the allied disciplines for its The nature of the problems demands the application of the experi-As a result, answers will be a long mental sciences for ultimate solutions. One might rationalize the situation by considering experimental research as the long-term approach, and descriptive research in the form of trialand-error and historical methods as short-term approaches. When one considers the present historical is perhaps the least time-consuming. status of the development of silvics, the complexity of the problems, and the importance of time, it would also appear that the historical approach could be employed to the greatest advantage new toward the contribution of partial solutions for the silviculturist in the woods.

During the last two years I have been applying the historical approach to an area slightly less than one acre, situated on the Harvard Forest in Petersham, Massachusetts. I would like to tell you a few of my experiences and perhaps ask you some of the questions that I have had to ask myself.

The original objective was to trace the development of the vegetation to precolonial time, about 1730. The area was chosen because it supported a stand of
trees, and it was accessible. The first procedure involved the construction of
a 10-foot grid which permitted the accurate location of any observation one cared
to record.

A series of maps was constructed to the scale of 10 feet to the inch. This series of maps described the following:

- 1. All stumps, dead tree boles and large fragments of wood lying on the forest floor.
- 2. All live tree elements to a minimum D.B.H. of 1-1/2 inches.
- All live tree elements ranging from 1-1/2 inches in diameter to the smallest recognizable seedling.
- 4. Contours of the forest floor to an interval of 6 inches.
- 5. Boulder concentrations on the surface of the forest floor and all individual boulders to a minimum diameter of 6 inches.
- 6. Present forest canopy.

Along with constructing this series of maps other procedures were as follows:

- 1. Specimens of all the stumps and wood fragments were collected for identification and age determination.
- 2. As the tree elements less than 1-1/2 inches in diameter were described, a section for age determination was removed from the base of each coniferous seedling and from the base of the stem and primary root of each sprout hardwood.

The 6-inch contours vividly delineated the microrelief of the area which included over 60 mounds and pits created by the uprooting of trees. The next major effort concerned the mounds and pits exclusively. Each was sectioned at right angles to its long axis, to depths of from 2 to 5 feet. Ten sections, the ages of which could be most closely determined, were profiled to the scale of one foot to the inch. Only the gross aspects of the sections were observed: color, texture, and arrangement of horizons. The remaining sections were diagrammatically profiled to the same scale and described. Coincident with sectioning, specimens were collected of buried wood from the trees that had been uprooted, buried organic layers of the pre-disturbed forest floor, and charcoal. The

wood and charcoal were collected for identification, as was the pollen content of the organic layers.

The final stage in the field consisted of clear-cutting the area. Sections for height and diameter growth reconstruction were removed at 4-foot intervals from each tree. So much for the general procedures except to say that the laboratory analyses involve a terrific volume of labor. Approximately 10,000 individual specimens were collected.

One of the first facts to be revealed was that in spite of at least two major logging operations, four periods of wind disturbance, and fires, the area had supported trees continuously since pre-colonial time. This fact has a significance which perhaps is so elementary that its real meaning is forgotten: that in this general region of the United States the developmental trends of the vegetation are climaxed by trees. This is the basic premise upon which our present-day silviculture and forest management are founded. The concept of plant succession was derived from the discipline of plant ecology, and provides the forester in New England with the basis for a reliable prediction: that any area in New England — those of obvious environmental extremes excluded, of course — will produce a crop of trees if given enough time.

The microrelief of the area included over 60 mounds and pits, as I have men-The majority of these trees had been alive and quite large when tioned above. The individual characteristics of the mounds and pits indithey were uprocted. cated that at least four major periods of wind disturbance had occurred over approximately the last 300 years. Recently I had the opportunity to travel over 5,000 miles to the Cumberland and Smoky Mountains, south through the Piedmont Region, then west across the Mississippi Delta to the Quachita and Boston Practically everywhere one looked mounds Mountains, and back to Massachusetts. One has no difficulty finding the same and pits of uprooted trees were found. phenomena in New England. The evidence on the ground is substantiated by accounts in the literature of innumerable storms of varying intensities since I have come to the conclusion that the mound and pit microrelief colonial time. is as much a characteristic of the forests as the trees themselves. The question that I am forced to ask myself is this: how does disturbance of this magnitude and frequency affect the climatic climax concept of vegetational development, a concept upon which much of cur silvicultural and management practices are based? The climatic climax concept is founded upon a degree of stability that never appears to have existed in many forested regions. What adjustments do we have to make in our silvicultural reasoning to accommodate the influence of uprooting, Can the disturbances be predicted with reliability? of instability? regions most affected be mapped?

The insertion of a disturbance or instability concept forces one to ask the same question about the development of soils. On the area under investigation it is possible to ascertain three different ages of soil surface within a horizontal distance of 20 feet. Over the area in general it is impossible to select one profile that can be designated as "typical," "normal," or "mature." The influence of instability upon soil genesis would appear to be an important consideration.

The stem analyses vividly revealed the height growth of the trees as individuals and of the stand in general. The hardwoods of one age class showed a

period of pronounced decrease in height development. Further observations indicated that about 70 years ago the crowns of this age class were mechanically damaged. A severe ice or glaze storm was probably the agent involved. Regions of frequent and severe glaze storms are recognized by the electric power companies throughout the United States. So aware are they of this phenomenon that critical areas have been mapped, and in these regions adjustments have been made in the equipment employed to accommodate the weight of ice accumulation. What influence do ice storms have upon tree height growth and consequently upon the development of clear log length? Is clear log length actually limited by ice in certain regions? Can the frequency and occurrence of ice storms be predicted?

Had a forester cruised this particular area periodically every hundred years starting in 1650, his tally sheets would have shown quite different totals in regard to composition, dominance, and, of course, volume. The chances are that he would have assigned a different forest type designation to the stand he found there each time. Which one of these "forest types" was real? Which one represented the true biological potential of the area? One might ask the same questions concerning the many different forest types now recognized in New England.

I have attempted to describe my concept of the historical approach to forest production research. I have cited only a few of the gross expressions of the vegetation to its environment and the simple techniques involved in their observation. It would appear that this approach could be applied very advantageously at this stage in the development of scientific silviculture. Its major objectives could be the determination of the "natural" biological production potential of forested areas and the degree of flexibility they present to environmental manipulation. Admittedly, most of the information derived would be descriptive and would undoubtedly fall by the wayside as the experimental sciences came into play. Regardless of the nature of the knowledge, it is needed now, and much of it is destined not only to provide a framework for further investigation but also to contribute to the basic foundation of scientific silviculture.

In the future, I believe that we should be very realistic about our research in forest production. Not necessarily practical, but realistic. All scientific investigation consists of accumulating observations. The subjects being scrutinized vary tremendously as do the techniques employed. Nevertheless, the first objectives are the same: the recording of accurate observations. Ultimately the data are synthesized. Conclusions are derived which lead to a partial solution. Another facet of knowledge has been exposed, and an additional plane has been established on which further reasoning can be based for an approach to another set of unknowns.

GOULD: Thank you very much, Mr. Stephens, for discussing your techniques for reading the history of the forest from the forest itself. I hope that we can use this approach a little more widely; perhaps in a short time we can get more of the information that we need.